UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DO AMAZONAS – UFAM INSTITUTO DE CIÊNCIAS BIOLÓGICAS – ICB

PROGRAMA DE PÓS-GRADUAÇÃO EM ZOOLOGIA - PPGZOOL

Avaliação do status taxonômico de uma linhagem de rãzinha-daserrapilheira do complexo *Adenomera simonstuarti* das florestas de areia branca da Reserva de Desenvolvimento Sustentável do Rio Negro, Amazônia Central, Brasil

Bryan da Cunha Martins

Manaus, Amazonas

Março/2023

UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DO AMAZONAS - UFAM INSTITUTO DE CIÊNCIAS BIOLÓGICAS - ICB PROGRAMA DE PÓS-GRADUAÇÃO EM ZOOLOGIA – PPGZOOL

Avaliação do status taxonômico de uma linhagem de rãzinha-daserrapilheira do complexo *Adenomera simonstuarti* das florestas de areia branca da Reserva de Desenvolvimento Sustentável do Rio Negro, Amazônia Central, Brasil

Discente: Bryan da Cunha Martins
Orientador: Prof. Dr. Miquéias Ferrão
Coorientadora: Prof^a. Dr^a. Albertina Pimentel Lima

Dissertação apresentada à Universidade Federal do Amazonas como parte dos requisitos para obtenção do título de Mestre pelo Programa de Pós-Graduação em Zoologia.

Manaus, Amazonas Março/2023

Ficha catalográfica

Ficha catalográfica elaborada automaticamente de acordo com os dados fornecidos pelo(a) autor(a).

M386a	Martins, Bryan da Cunha Avaliação do status taxonômico de uma linhagem de rãzinha-da- serrapilheira do complexo Adenomera simonstuarti das florestas de areia branca da Reserva de Desenvolvimento Sustentável do Rio Negro, Amazônia Central, Brasil / Bryan da Cunha Martins . 2023 46 f.: il. color; 31 cm.
	Orientador: Miquéias Ferrão Coorientadora: Albertina Pimentel Lima Dissertação (Mestrado em Zoologia) - Universidade Federal do Amazonas.
	 Anfíbios. 2. Taxonomia integrativa. 3. Campina. 4. Campinarana. 5. Interflúvio Negro-Solimões. I. Ferrão, Miquéias. II. Universidade Federal do Amazonas III. Título

"If the future's looking dark We're the ones who have to shine If there's no one in control We're the ones who draw the line Though we live in trying times -We're the ones who have to try Though we know that time has wings -We're the ones who have to fly" Everyday Glory (1993) - Rush

AGRADECIMENTOS

Ouso dizer que escrever os agradecimentos de uma dissertação é a parte mais difícil de todo o manuscrito – mais do que qualquer análise ou discussão. Aqui a que a gente para e pensa sobre a jornada que passamos, das coisas que abrimos mão e, principalmente, daqueles que nos ajudaram de alguma forma. Escrever esta seção é relembrar, é sentir... é buscar na memória tudo e todos que são importantes para mim nesta jornada até aqui.

Agradeço primeiramente aos meus pais Marcone F. Martins e Edna Davina C. Martins pelo amor e carinho. Agradeço pela oportunidade, frente à todas as dificuldades da vida, de estudar e focar única e exclusivamente em meus sonhos. Sem o incondicional apoio que proporcionaram, dificilmente eu estaria redigindo este texto.

Agradeço ao meu irmão Lucas C. Martins, meu melhor amigo e uma pessoa que sempre me apoiou, me apoia e apoiará. Conte sempre comigo, irmão!

Agradeço à minha melhor amiga Izadora S. Fernandes, que por sinal, desde 2017, é minha namorada. Agradeço pelo carinho, pelas séries assistidas à distância, pelos jogos jogados (também à distância), mas principalmente pelo apoio e pela compreensão de viver, por quase dois anos, um relacionamento à distância; uma verdadeira prova de amor.

Agradeço aos meus amigos Lucas R. Mendonça e Silionamã P. Dantas pelo privilégio de compartilhar a mesma casa nestes quase dois anos de mestrado. Agradeço por todas as conversas científicas ou não, pelos campos juntos, pelas ajudas diretas para com o meu projeto, pelos rolês, pelas cervejas, pelos jogos assistidos (hahaha1; a lista é infinita). Certamente os levarei comigo durante toda a vida.

Agradeço ao meu amigo Alexander T. Mônico por infinitas conversas, orientações para com o projeto, memes, partidas de vôlei, reflexões sobre a vida e infinitas outras coisas. Nossas vidas possuem contextos semelhantes, o que me fez criar um laço praticamente inquebrável. Estará sempre comigo

Agradeço ao meu amigo Esteban D. Koch pelo carinho com o qual me acolheu, pelas conversas e orientação durante o desenvolver do meu projeto. Em tão pouco tempo se mostrou uma pessoa que sei que posso, verdadeiramente, contar com.

Agradeço, também, ao meu amigo Igor Y. Fernandes, pelo apoio, pelo carinho, pelas conversas, rolês, orientações, memes e afins. É outro que tenho certeza que posso contar!

Agradeço aos amigos do PPGZool, especialmente Cianir Mendonça e Cláudia Souza pela amizade, conversas, rolês e mais especialmente ainda à Cianir pelo suporte com os campos de mestrado e por me emprestar um violão (hahaha2). Provavelmente eu teria surtado se não pudesse expressar meus sentimentos por meio da música!

Agradeço aos meus professores e professoras (em todos os níveis de educação) pelo conhecimento, orientações e experiência que, com nenhuma dúvida, me trouxeram até aqui. Tem uma frase de Paulo Freire que diz que "a educação não transforma o mundo, mas que ela muda as pessoas e as pessoas transformam o mundo". Eu acredito nisso por conta destes profissionais que, com todos os desafios do mundo, escolheram uma das mais belas profissões. Espero ser um bom professor para meus alunos, tanto quanto eles foram para mim.

Agradeço ao PPGZool e a todos que trabalham duro para o funcionamento do programa.

Agradeço ao meu orientador Miquéias Ferrão pela oportunidade que me deu de estudar os mais belos seres do planeta! Obrigado pela orientação, ensinamentos e suporte! Me sinto feliz e satisfeito com isso!

Agradeço à minha coorientadora Albertina P. Lima, pelas conversas sobre sapos, por me ensinar a coletar as *Adenomera* em campo, e pelas conversas informais também! Foi um privilégio!

Agradeço ao "seu" Jânio e à "dona" Alindomar por me receberem de braços abertos em suas casas durante os campos do mestrado. Agradeço também ao Arthur Guilherme e ao Gustavo, netos de Jânio e Alindomar, pelas brincadeiras, descontrações e tentativas frustradas de me ensinarem a nadar (hahaha3)

Agradeço à Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa e Inovação do estado do Espírito Santo e à Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do estado do Amazonas por financiarem minha educação superior até aqui. Sem esta oportunidade, nada disto seria possível.

Do fundo do meu coração e da minha memória, busquei citar todos aqueles que foram importantes para mim, de alguma forma. Me sinto orgulhoso de ter vocês comigo. Meu muito obrigado a todos vocês.

INTRODUCÃO GERAL	8
RESUMO	
ABSTRACT	
INTRODUCTION	
MATERIAL AND METHODS	
SAMPLING	17
MORPHOLOGY	19
VOCALIZATION	
MOLECULAR PHYLOGENETICS	
MORPHOMETRIC AND BIOACOUSTICS ANALYSES	
INTERSPECIFIC MORPHOLOGICAL COMPARISONS	
RESULTS	
PHYLOGENETIC RELATIONSHIPS AND GENETIC DISTANCES	
MORPHOMETRIC AND BIOACOUSTIC ANALYSES	
TAXONOMIC ACCOUNT	
HOLOTYPE	
PARATOPOTYPES	
PARATYPE	
GENERIC PLACEMENT	
DIAGNOSIS	
MORPHOLOGICAL AND ACOUSTIC INTERSPECIFIC COMPARISONS	
DESCRIPTION OF HOLOTYPE	
COLOR HOLOTYPE IN LIFE	
COLOR HOLOTYPE IN PRESERVATIVE	
INTERSPECIFIC VARIATION	
ADVERTISEMENT CALL	
DISTRIBUTION, HABITAT AND NATURAL HISTORY	
CONSERVATION	
DISCUSSION	
CONCLUSION	
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	
FUNDING	
REFERENCES	
APPENDIX 1	
APPENDIX 2	
APPENDIX 3	
APPENDIX 4	
APPENDIX 5	

SUMÁRIO

INTRODUÇÃO GERAL

A Amazônia é berço de inúmeras espécies da fauna e flora e abriga alta diversidade biológica (Tisseuil *et al.*, 2013; Hermes *et al.*, 2015; Vacher *et al.*, 2020). Ela ocupa aproximadamente metade do território brasileiro e sua área compreende cerca de 25% das florestas do planeta (Baccaro *et al.*, 2008). Surpreendentemente, o conhecimento sobre a diversidade de espécies Amazônicas permanece ainda subestimado. Sabe-se atualmente que, a proporção de espécies ainda não descritas pra região é alta em diversos grupos biológicos-Déficit Linneano (e.g., Machado *et al.*, 2018; Vacher *et al.*, 2020). Além disto, o conhecimento sobre a distribuição geográfica de diversas espécies possui ainda grandes lacunas a serem preenchidas-Déficit Wallaceano (Bini *et al.*, 2006).

Dentre os vertebrados amazônicos, os anuros estão entre os que possuem provavelmente a riqueza de espécies mais subestimada. A cada ano, inúmeras espécies são descritas para a Amazônia (e.g. Ferrão *et al.*, 2020a; Ferrão *et al.*, 2020b; Lima *et al.*, 2020; Moraes & Lima, 2021) e a taxa de descrição de novas espécies não parece diminuir, uma vez que foram descritas para a Amazônia brasileira 41 espécies nos dois últimos anos (16 espécies em 2019 e 26 em 2020) (Segalla *et al.*, 2021).

Um dos primeiros grandes estudos compilando o conhecimento sobre a riqueza de anuros na Amazônia foi feito por Azevedo-Ramos e Galatti (2002) revelando 163 espécies na Amazônia brasileira. O baixo número pode ter relação com as dificuldades enfrentadas para acessar áreas distantes dos centros urbanos. Entretanto, sabe-se hoje que pelo menos 370 espécies ocorrem na Amazônia brasileira (Hoogmoed e Galatti, 2021). Em se tratando da Amazônia em sua totalidade, Godinho e Silva (2018) registraram 577 espécies, evidenciando que o Brasil abriga 65% das espécies de anfíbios de toda Amazônia.

O estudo mais recente com base em dados moleculares obtidos em larga escala geográfica revelou que pelo menos 876 espécies, entre *taxa* descritos e não descritos, possam ocorrer na Amazônia (Vacher *et al.*, 2020), uma estimativa aproximadamente duas vezes maior do que a apresentada pela Lista Vermelha da União Internacional pela Conservação da Natureza (IUCN Red List). A diferença entre estas duas últimas estimativas representam, em grande parte, espécies não descritas associadas a espécies nominais tidas como amplamente distribuídas (Vacher et al., 2020). O elevado número de espécies não descritas impacta diretamente na conservação dos anuros amazônicos, uma vez que a elaboração de medidas conservacionistas é baseada principalmente na distribuição de espécies nominais (Foden *et al.*, 2013; Jenkins *et al.*, 2013; Caminer & Ron, 2014).

Vários grupos de anuros, principalmente os proximamente relacionados, possuem morfologia externa conservada e apresentam diferenças sutis entre espécies-espécies crípticas (e.g., Jorge et al., 2020; Lima et al., 2020). A cripticidade entre pares de espécies propiciou inúmeras delimitações errôneas de espécies em estudos baseados exclusivamente em caracteres morfológicos (Simões, 2010). Esta abordagem dificulta a descrição de espécies novas com morfologia mais conservada, visto que nem sempre o processo de especiação promove mudanças facilmente diagnosticáveis na morfologia das

espécies (Bickford, 2007). Entretanto, este cenário vem mudando nos últimos anos. Taxonomistas têm integrado dados moleculares, morfológicos, filogeográficos, bioacústicos, ecológicos e de comportamento reprodutivo para incrementar as delimitações de espécies em estudos recentes-taxonomia integrativa (Padial *et al.*, 2010). Com esta abordagem integrativa, muitas espécies crípticas anteriormente "escondidas" em espécies tidas como amplamente distribuídas estão sendo delimitadas e descritas (e.g., Ferrão et al., 2016, 2017, 2018a, 2018b; Lima et al., 2020; Carvalho et al., 2021; Fouquet et al., 2021a, 2021b).

As rãzinhas-da-serrapilheira do gênero *Adenomera* Steindachner, 1867 estão entre os anuros neotropicais com maior déficit Linneano. Fouquet et al. (2014) revelaram 31 espécies candidatas confirmadas em *Adenomera*, número que representava na época um aumento de 94% na riqueza de espécies para o gênero. Carvalho et al. (2021) revelaram algumas espécies candidatas a mais e descreveram seis novas espécies de *Adenomera*. Das 29 espécies nominais atualmente conhecidas de *Adenomera*, 14 foram descritas entre 2013 e 2021 (Carvalho e Giaretta, 2013; Carvalho et al., 2019a, 2019b, 2019c, 2020a, 2020b, 2021). Grande parte destas descrições recentes foram realizadas através de taxonomia integrativa. Uma vez que espécies em vários clados de *Adenomera* apresentam morfologia conservada, parte considerável destas descrições recentes não poderiam ter sido feitas sem integrar outras linhas de evidencia, principalmente moleculares e bioacústicas.

Adenomera é um gênero de pequenos anuros terrestres que pertence à família Leptodactylidae Werner, 1896 (1838) e que se distribui por toda a América do Sul a leste dos Andes e possui atualmente 29 espécies formalmente descritas (Frost, 2021). O histórico taxonômico do gênero é bastante complexo, tendo sido sinonimizado a *Lithodytes* e *Leptodactylus* e revalidado diversas vezes (veja Lutz, 1930; Parker, 1932, 1935; Heyer, 1973, 1974; Frost *et al.*, 2006; Ponssa *et al.*, 2008; Pyron e Wiens, 2011; Fouquet *et al.*, 2014; da Sá *et al.*, 2014). Oito clados são atualmente reconhecidos em *Adenomera* (sensu Fouquet *et al.*, 2014), sete dos quais associados a espécies nominais: clados *A. andreae*, *A. heyeri*, *A. hylaedactyla*, *A. lutzi*, *A. marmorata*, *A. martinezi* e *A. thomei*.

O clado Adenomera andreae é restrito da Amazônia e compreende quatro espécies: A. andreae (Müller, 1923), A. chicomendesi Carvalho, Angulo, Kokubum, Barrera, Souza, Haddad & Giaretta, 2019c, A. guayaro Carvalho, Angulo, Barrera, Aguilar-Puntriano & Haddad, 2020a e A. simonstuarti (Angulo & Icochea, 2010), além de duas espécies candidatas identificadas como Adenomera sp. D e Adenomera sp. T (Fouquet et al., 2014; Carvalho et al., 2019c). Adenomera andeae foi descrita da Amazônia brasileira oriental no estado do Pará com base em caracteres morfológicos e está amplamente distribuída pelo bioma (Fouquet et al., 2014; Carvalho et al., 2019d). Através de caracteres morfológicos e bioacústicos, A. simonstuarti foi descrita de Camisea, Cusco, Peru. Duas espécies foram descritas através de taxonomia integrativa (DNA, morfologia, bioacústica): Adenomera chicomendesi e A. guayaro. A primeira foi descrita da Parque Zoobotânico da Universidade Federal do Acre, Rio Branco (Brasil) e a segunda descrita da Reserva Nacional Tambopata, distrito e província de Tambopata (Peru). Duas espécies (Adenomera sp. D e Adenomera sp.T) possuem apenas um registro

cada no Peru, e são consideradas espécies candidatas não confirmadas devido à ausência de dados bioacústicos.

Carvalho *et al.* (2020a) analisaram dados moleculares, bioacústicos e morfológicos de populações topotípicas de *A. simonstuarti* e populações adicionais distribuídas em uma área total de 2 milhões de km² na Amazônia. Populações analisadas estão divididas em oito linhagens (*Adenomera simonstuarti* 1 à *Adenomera simonstuarti* 8, sendo a linhagem 3 relativa à espécie nominal descrita em 2010) (Carvalho. et al., 2020a). *Adenomera simonstuarti* sensu stricto parece restrita ao sudoeste da Amazônia no Peru e Brasil. O estudo ressalta que as linhagens adicionais podem representar espécies crípticas, porém a delimitação do status taxonômico das mesmas depende da análise de dados bioacústicos—linha de evidência essencial na diferenciação interespecífica em *Adenomera*.

Recentemente, Albertina P. Lima e Miquéias Ferrão coletaram espécimes e cantos de anúncio de uma espécie de *Adenomera* habitante das florestas de areia branca do interflúvio entre os rios Engro e Solimões, na Reserva de Desenvolvimento Sustentável Rio Negro, Amazônia central (Amazonas, Brasil). Dados moleculares revelaram que estes espécimes representam uma nova linhagem dentro de *A. simonstuarti* sensu lato. Além disto, dados bioacústicos desta nova linhagem são fortemente divergentes daqueles de *A. simonstuarti* sensu stricto. As duas linhas de evidências indicam congruentemente que este táxon representa uma nova espécie.

REFERENCIAS

- Angulo A, Icochea J. 2010. Cryptic species complexes, widespread species and conservation: lessons from Amazonian frogs of the *Leptodactylus marmoratus* group (Anura: Leptodactylidae). *Systematics and Biodiversity* 8: 357–370.
- Azevedo-Ramos C, Galatti U. 2002. Patterns of amphibian diversity in Brazilian Amazonia: conservation implications. *Biological Conservation* 103: 103–111.
- Baccaro F, Drucker D, do Vale J, Oliveira M, Magalhães C, Lepsch-Cunha N, et al. 2008. A Reserva Ducke. In: p.11–20.
- Bickford D, Lohman D, Sodhi N, Ng P, Meier R, Winker K, et al. 2007. Cryptic species as a window on diversity and conservation. *Trends in ecology & evolution*, 22: 148–155.
- Bini LM, Diniz-Filho JAF, Rangel TFLVB, Bastos RP, Pinto MP. 2006. Challenging Wallacean and Linnean shortfalls: knowledge gradients and conservation planning in a biodiversity hotspot. *Diversity and Distributions* 12: 475–482.
- Caminer M, Ron S. 2014. Systematics of treefrogs of the *Hypsiboas calcaratus* and *Hypsiboas fasciatus* species complex (Anura, Hylidae) with the description of four new species. *ZooKeys* 370: 1–68.
- Carvalho TR, Giaretta AA. 2013. Bioacoustics reveals two new syntopic species of *Adenomera* Steindachner (Anura: Leptodactylidae: Leptodactylinae) in the Cerrado of central Brazil. *Zootaxa* 3731: 533.
- Carvalho TR, Simões PI, Gagliardi-Urrutia G, Rojas-Runjaic FJM, Haddad CFB, Castroviejo-Fisher S. 2020c. A New Forest-Dwelling Frog Species of the Genus

Adenomera (Leptodactylidae) from Northwestern Brazilian Amazonia. *Copeia* 108: 924–937.

- Carvalho TR, Angulo A, Barrera DA, Aguilar-Puntriano C, Haddad CFB. 2020b. Hiding in Plain Sight: A Fourth New Cryptic Species of the *Adenomera* andreae Clade (Anura: Leptodactylidae) from Southwestern Amazonia. *Herpetologica* 76: 304–314.
- Carvalho TR, Moraes LJCL, Angulo A, Werneck FP, Icochea J, Lima AP. 2020a. New acoustic and molecular data shed light on the poorly known Amazonian frog Adenomera simonstuarti (Leptodactylidae): implications for distribution and conservation. *European Journal of Taxonomy*
- Carvalho TR, Angulo A, Kokubum MNC, Barrera DA, de Souza MB, Haddad CFB, et al. 2019c. A New Cryptic Species of the *Adenomera andreae* Clade from Southwestern Amazonia (Anura, Leptodactylidae). *Herpetologica* 75: 233.
- Carvalho TR, Cassini CS, Taucce PPG, Haddad CFB. 2019b. A New, Morphologically Cryptic Species of *Adenomera* Closely Related to *Adenomera araucaria* from the Atlantic Forest of Southern Brazil (Anura, Leptodactylidae). *Journal Of Herpetology* 131.
- Carvalho TR, Giaretta AA, Angulo A, Haddad CFB, Peloso PLV. 2019a. A New Amazonian Species of Adenomera (Anura: Leptodactylidae) from the Brazilian State of Pará: A Tody-Tyrant Voice in a Frog. American Museum Novitates 3919: 1.
- Carvalho TR, Giaretta AA, Maciel NM, Barrera, DA, Aguilar-Puntriano C, Haddad CFB, Kokobum MNC, Menin M, Ângulo A. 2019d. On the Uncertain Taxonomic Identity of Adenomera hylaedactyla (Cope, 1868) and the Composite Type Series of A. andreae (Müller, 1923) (Anura, Leptodactylidae). *Copeia* 107: 708–723.
- Carvalho TR, Moraes LJCL, Lima AP, Fouquet A, Peloso PLV, Pavan D, et al. 2021. Systematics and historical biogeography of Neotropical foam-nesting frogs of the *Adenomera heyeri* clade (Leptodactylidae), with the description of six new Amazonian species. *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society* zlaa051.
- de Sá RO, Grant T, Camargo A, Heyer WR, Ponssa ML, Stanley E. 2014. Systematics of the Neotropical Genus *Leptodactylus* Fitzinger, 1826 (Anura: Leptodactylidae): Phylogeny, the Relevance of Non-molecular Evidence, and Species Accounts. *South American Journal of Herpetology* 9 (1).
- Ferrão M, Moravec J, Hanken J, Lima, AP. 2020a. A new species of *Dendropsophus* (Anura, Hylidae) from southwestern Amazonia with a green bilobate vocal sac. *ZooKeys* 942:77–104.
- Ferrão M, Fraga R, Moravec J, Kaefer IL, Lima AP. 2018b. A new species of Amazonian snouted treefrog (Hylidae: *Scinax*) with description of a novel species-habitat association for an aquatic breeding frog. *PeerJ* 6: e4321.
- Ferrão M, Lima, AP, Ron S, Santos SP, Hanken J. 2020b. New Species of Leaf-litter Toad of the *Rhinella margaritifera* Species Group (Anura: Bufonidae) from Amazonia. *Copeia* 108(4):967–986.
- Ferrão M, Moravec J, Fraga R, Almeida AP, Kaefer IL, Lima AP. 2017. A new species of Scinax from the Purus-Madeira interfluve, Brazilian Amazonia (Anura, Hylidae). ZooKeys 706: 137–162.

- Ferrão M, Moravec J, Kaefer I, Fraga R, Lima A. 2018a. New Species of Scinax (Anura: Hylidae) with Red-Striped Eyes from Brazilian Amazonia. Journal of Herpetology 52: 473–486.
- Foden WB, Butchart SH, Stuart SN, Vie JC, Akcakaya HR, Angulo A, Devantier LM, Gutsche A, Turak E, Cao L, Donner SD, Katariya V, Bernard R, Holland RA, Hughes AF, O'Hanlon SE, Garnett ST, Sekercioglu CH, Mace GM. 2013. Identifying the World's Most Climate Change Vulnerable Species: A Systematic Trait-Based Assessment of all Birds, Amphibians and Corals. *PLOS ONE* 8: e65427.
- Fouquet A, Cassini CS, Haddad CFB, Pech N, Rodrigues MT. 2014. Species delimitation, patterns of diversification and historical biogeography of the Neotropical frog genus *Adenomera* (Anura, Leptodactylidae). *Journal of Biogeography* 41: 855–870.
- Fouquet A, Leblanc K, Framit M, Réjaud A, Rodrigues MT, Castroviejo-Fisher S, et al. 2021a. Species diversity and biogeography of an ancient frog clade from the Guiana Shield (Anura: Microhylidae: Adelastes, Otophryne, Synapturanus) exhibiting spectacular phenotypic diversification. Biological Journal of the Linnean Society 132: 233–256.
- Fouquet A, Marinho P, Réjaud A, Carvalho TR, Caminer M, Jansen M, et al. 2021b.
 Systematics and biogeography of the *Boana albopunctata* species group (Anura, Hylidae), with the description of two new species from Amazonia. *Systematics and Biodiversity* 19: 1–38.
- Frost DR, Grant T, Faivovich J, Bain RH, Haas A, Haddad CFB, et al. 2006. The Amphibiam Tree of Life. *Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural History* 297: 1–291.
- Frost DR. 2021. Amphibian Species of the World: an Online Reference. Version 6.1 (Acesso em 15 Nov. 2021). Disponível em: https://amphibiansoftheworld.amnh.org/Amphibia/Anura/Leptodactylidae/Lep
- Godinho MB C, da Silva FR. 2018. The influence of riverine barriers, climate, and topography on the biogeographic regionalization of Amazonian anurans. *Scientific Reports* 8: 3427.
- Hermes MG, Somavilla A, Andena SR. 2015. Catálogo Taxonômico da Fauna do Brasil. Família Vespidae. Disponível em: (Acesso em junho de 2021)">http://fauna.jbrj.gov.br/fauna/> (Acesso em junho de 2021).
- Heyer WR. 1974. Relationships of the *marmoratus* species group (Amphibia, Leptodactylidae) within the subfamily Leptodactylinae. *Contributions in Science: Natural History Museum, Los Angeles County* 253:1–45.
- Hoogmoed M, Galatti U. *Censo da Biodiversidade*. Disponível em: http://censo.museu-goeldi.br:8080/museugoeldi-web-1.2.0/paginas/especie_consultar.xhtml. Acesso em 23 de nov. 2021.
- INMET Instituto Nacional de Meteorologia. 2014. Disponível em: https://tempo.inmet.gov.br/. Acesso em 01 de dez. 2021
- Jenkins CN, Pimm SL, Joppa LN. 2013. Global patterns of terrestrial vertebrate diversity and conservation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 110: E2602–E2610.

- Jorge RF, Ferrão M, Lima AP. 2020. Out of Bound: A New Threatened Harlequin Toad (Bufonidae, *Atelopus*) from the Outer Borders of the Guiana Shield in Central Amazonia Described through Integrative Taxonomy. *Diversity* 12: 310.
- Lima AP, Ferrão M, Silva DL. 2020. Not as widespread as thought: Integrative taxonomy reveals cryptic diversity in the Amazonian nurse frog *Allobates tinae* Melo-Sampaio, Oliveira and Prates, 2018 and description of a new species. *Journal of Zoological Systematics and Evolutionary Research* 58: 1173–1194.
- Lima AP, Simões PI, Kaefer IL. 2015. A new species of Allobates (Anura: Aromobatidae) from Parque Nacional da Amazônia, Pará State, Brazil. *Zootaxa* 3980: 501–525.
- Machado VN, Collins RA, Ota RP, Andrade MC, Farias IP, Hrbek T. 2018. One thousand DNA barcodes of piranhas and pacus reveal geographic structure and unrecognised diversity in the Amazon. *Scientific Reports* 8: 8387.
- Moraes LJCL, Lima AP. 2021. A New Nurse Frog (*Allobates*, Aromobatidae) with a Cricket-Like Advertisement Call from Eastern Amazonia. *Herpetologica* 77: 146–163.
- Müller L. 1923. Neuer oder seltene Reptilien and Batrachier der zoologischen sammlung des bayerischen staates. *Zoologischer Anzeiger* 57:39–54.
- Padial J, Riva I. 2009. Integrative taxonomy reveals cryptic Amazonian species of *Pristimantis* (Anura: Strabomantidae). *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society* 155:97–122.
- Parker HW. 1932. XXXVII.—The systematic status of some frogs in the Vienna Museum. Annals and Magazine of Natural History 10: 341–344.
- Parker HW. 1935. The Frogs, Lizards, and Snakes of British Guiana. *Journal of Zoology* 105(3): 05–530.
- Ponssa ML. 2008. Cladistic analysis and osteological descriptions of the frog species in the *Leptodactylus fuscus* species group (Anura, Leptodactylidae). *Journal of Zoological Systematics and Evolutionary Research* 46: 249–266.
- Pyron RA, Wiens JJ. 2011. A large-scale phylogeny of Amphibia including over 2800 species, and a revised classification of extant frogs, salamanders, and caecilians. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 61: 543–583.
- Segalla M, Berneck B, Canedo C, Caramaschi U, Cruz CAG, Garcia PCA, Grant T, Haddad CFB, Lourenço AC, Mangia S, Mott T, Nascimento L, Toledo LF, Werneck F, Langone JA. 2021. List of Brazilian Amphibians. *Herpetologia Brasileira* 10: 121–216.
- Shine R. 1979. Sexual Selection and Sexual Dimorphism in the Amphibia. Copeia 297–306.
- Simões P. 2010. Diversificação do complexo *Allobates femoralis* (Anura, Dendrobatidae) em florestas da Amazônia brasileira: desvendando padrões atuais e históricos. Tese (doutorado em ecologia). Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas da Amazônia. Manaus, p. 208.
- Tisseuil C, Cornu JF, Beauchard O, Brosse S, Darwall W, Holland R, et al. 2013. Global diversity patterns and cross-taxa convergence in freshwater systems. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 82: 365–376.
- Vacher J, Chave J, Ficetola FG, Sommeria-Klein G, Tao S, Thébaud C, et al. 2020. Largescale DNA-based survey of frogs in Amazonia suggests a vast underestimation of species richness and endemism. *Journal of Biogeography* 47: 1781–1791.

A new species of foam-nesting frog (Anura: Leptodactylidae: Adenomera) from white-sand forests of central Amazonia, Brazil

Bryan da Cunha Martins^{1*}, Alexander Tamanini Mônico², Jesus R. D. Souza¹, James Hanken³, Albertina Pimentel Lima², Miquéias Ferrão^{1,3}

¹Programa de Pós-graduação em Zoologia, Instituto de Ciências Biológicas, Universidade Federal do Amazonas, Av. Rodrigo Octávio 6200, 69077-000, Manaus, Amazonas, Brazil. E-mail: bryancmartins@hotmail.com.

²Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas da Amazônia, Av. André Araújo 2936, 69011-970, Manaus, Amazonas, Brazil. E-mail: lima@inpa.gov.br.

³Museum of Comparative Zoology, Harvard University, 26 Oxford Street, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138, U.S.A.; e-mail: miqueiasferrao@fas.harvard.edu.

*Corresponding author

Manuscrito preparado a partir das instruções para autores do periódico Peerj Link de acesso às instruções: https://peerj.com/about/author-instructions/

Resumo

Por meio da taxonomia integrativa nos descrevemos uma nova espécie de rãzinha-daserrapilheira do gênero Adenomera das florestas de areia branca da Reserva de Desenvolvimento Sustentável Rio Negro, Amazônia central, Brasil. Dentro do clado A. andreae, a nova espécie se aninhou dentro do complexo A. simonstuarti como irmã da linhagem A. simonstuarti 2 do baixo Juruá. A nova espécie é atribuída ao gênero Adenomera por ter tamanho menor que 34,1 mm, ausência de franjas e membranas entre os dedos e ausência de espinhos nos polegares de machos adultos. Difere de outras espécies amazônicas pela combinação dos seguintes caracteres: ausência de tubérculo antebraquial; pontas dos dedos achatadas ou ligeiramente achatadas, com expansões visíveis; presença de uma faixa escura quase sólida na parte inferior do antebraço; canto de anúncio com nota única formado por 11-21 pulsos parcialmente fundidos; frequências fundamental e dominante variando entre 1.765-2.239 Hz e 3.448-4.349 Hz, respectivamente; duração do canto variando entre 100–199 ms. Embora tenhamos amostrado muitos módulos permanentes de amostragem em florestas ombrófilas na região de Manaus e no interflúvio Purus-Madeira na última década, a nova espécie foi encontrada apenas em florestas de areia branca à oeste do Interflúvio Negro-Solimões, o que indica que Adenomera sp. nov. pode ser endêmica ou pelo menos especialista neste tipo de ambiente no interflúvio Negro-Solimões.

Palavras-chave Anfíbios, taxonomia integrativa, campina, campinarana, Interflúvio Negro-Solimões.

Abstract

Through integrative taxonomy we describe a new species of foam-nesting frog of the genus Adenomera from white-sand forests of the Reserva do Desenvolvimento Sustentável Rio Negro, Central Amazonia, Brazil. Within the A. andreae Clade, the new species nest within A. simonstuarti complex as sister to the lineage A. simonstuarti 2 from Lower Juruá. The new species is assigned to the genus Adenomera by having smaller than 34.1 mm, lack of fringing and webbing between toes and the absence of spines in adult males' thumbs. It differs from other Adenomera Amazonian species by the combination of the following characters: absence of antebrachial tubercle; toe tips flattened or slightly flattened, with visible expansions; presence of nearly solid darkcolored stripe on underside of forearm; single-note call formed by 11-21 partly fused pulses; fundamental and dominant frequencies varying between 1,765-2,239 Hz and 3,448–4,349 Hz, respectively; call duration varying between 100–199 ms. Although we have sampled many permanent sampling modules in ombrophilous forests in Manaus region and in the Purus-Madeira interfluve in the last decade, the new species was only found in the white-sand forest from West Negro-Solimões Interfluve, which indicate that Adenomera sp. nov. might be an endemic or at least a specialist in this kind of environment in the Negro-Solimões interfluve.

Keywords Amphibia, integrative taxonomy, campina, campinarana, West Negro-Solimões Intefluve.

Introduction

Leptodactylid frogs of the genus Adenomera Steindachner, 1867 are distributed throughout South America east of the Andes and comprises 29 described species (Frost, 2023). The taxonomic history of this genus is very complex. Over the last 50 years, some systematic studies reviewed the phylogenetics position of Adenomera (Heyer, 1973; 1974; Frost et al., 2006; Pyron & Wiens, 2011; de Sá et al., 2014). The genus was described by Steindachner (1867) to accommodate A. marmorata. Later, Lutz (1930) synonymized it to Parvulus, a subgenus of Leptodactylus Fitzinger, 1826, but Parker (1932) gave priority to the genus Adenomera and elevated it as a subgenus of Leptodactylus. Then, Parker (1935) reallocated A. marmorata to the genus Leptodactylus. Four decades later, Adenomera was resurrected by Heyer (1974) to harbor taxa of the Leptodactylus marmoratus species group (sensu Heyer, 1968). To avoid the paraphyly of Leptodactylus, Frost et al. (2006) placed Adenomera as a synonym of Lithodytes Fitzinger, 1843, and the latter as a synonym (subgenus) of Leptodactylus. Based on molecular data, Pyron & Wiens (2011) recovered Adenomera as a sister to *Lithodytes*, and this clade as a sister to *Leptodactylus*; then, removed the two former taxa from the synonym of Leptodactylus. The relationship Adenomera + Lithodytes was corroborated by de Sá et al. (2014) through a total evidence analysis, which recuperated (Adenomera + Lithodytes) + (Hydrolaetare + Leptodactylus). Fouquet et al. (2014) published a comprehensive phylogenetic tree in which Adenomera is classified into eight major species clades: A. lutzi clade, A. heyeri clade, A. sp. I clade, *A. andreae* clade, *A. marmorata* clade, *A. thomei* clade, *A. martinezi* clade and *A. hylaedactyla* clade. However, Carvalho et al. (2021) recovered *Adenomera* sp. I as *Adenomera juikitam* sensu stricto and, through acoustic, morphologic and genetic data, concluded that *A. juikitam* belongs to *A. heyeri* clade instead a different clade.

The genus *Adenomera* presents a high prevalence of morphologically cryptic species (Carvalho et al., 2020a). Some species in the genus also show high levels of intraspecific polymorphism (e.g., Cassini et al., 2020). Congeneric sympatry and syntopy are common in *Adenomera*; up to three species might occur in the same region, sometimes in syntopy (e.g., Carvalho et al., 2021). These factors make the species delimitation in *Adenomera* challenging. Nevertheless, 14 of the 29 currently recognized species were described in the last 10 years (Frost, 2022) and several candidate species await formal description (Fouquet et al. 2014). The massive taxonomic advance in the taxonomy of *Adenomera* has been possible due to the use of integrative taxonomy (Carvalho et al., 2019a; c). Despite morphological crypsis the advertisement call in *Adenomera* is markedly divergent between species and represents a powerful source of diagnostic characters (Angulo & Icochea, 2010; Carvalho & Giaretta 2013a, b; Carvalho et al., 2019c, 2021).

The Adenomera andreae clade comprises four described species: [A. andreae (Müller, 1923): A. chicomendesi Carvalho, Angulo, Kokubum, Barrera, Souza, Haddad & Giaretta, 2019, A. guayaro Carvalho, Angulo, Barrera, Aguilar-Puntriano & Haddad, 2020 and A. simonstuarti (Angulo & Icochea, 2010), and two candidate species (Adenomera sp. D and T; sensu Fouquet et al., 2014]. The Adenomera andreae clade is restricted to Amazonia, but none of the nominal species has restricted geographic distribution. Adenomera andreae shows the widest range, being distributed throughout Amazonia (Carvalho et al., 2019c), while A. chicomendesi and A. guayaro are widely distributed in southwestern Amazonia (Carvalho et al., 2020a). Finally, A. simonstuarti is distributed in western and southwestern Amazonia (Carvalho et al., 2020b).

The species *Adenomera simonstuarti* was described from Peruvian Amazonia through morphological and bioacoustic traits of four males and two females (Angulo & Icochea, 2010). Posteriorly, Fouquet et al. (2014) indicated that the species was more widespread than previously thought, also occurring in Venezuela, Ecuador, Peru and Brazil (states of Acre and Amazonas). Fouquet et al. (2014) also suggested the existence of more than one species hidden under the name *A. simonstuarti* (see Appendix S2a of Fouquet et al. 2014). Recently, Carvalho et al. (2020b) sequenced new specimens from Brazil referred to *A. simonstuarti* and their lineage delimitation analysis recovered eight lineages within this name (hereafter *A. simonstuarti* complex). Carvalho et al. (2020b) also redescribed the species' advertisement call based on recordings from the type locality in Peru and an additional locality in the upper Juruá River Basin (Acre, Brazil). Based on molecular, morphological, and bioacoustic data, Carvalho et al. (2020b) recognized their lineage 3 as *A. simonstuarti* sensu stricto (nominal species). They also suggested that the other lineages might represent putative new species, but confirmation is pending while additional data are acquired (*e.g.*, acoustic and morphologic data).

It is well documented that poorly sampled environments in Amazonia usually harbor undocumented biodiversity of anurans (Ferrão et al., 2016; Vacher et al., 2020). Physiognomies comprising the white-sand ecosystems (hereafter WSE) are great examples of poorly sampled environments (Adeney et al., 2016). The WSE occupies an area of 5% of the Brazilian Legal Amazonia and comprises two main physiognomies: *campina* – open environments characterized as patches of grasslands or scrublands (canopy < 7 m) on a matrix of exposed sandy soil; *campinarana* – closed-canopy, forested environments characterized by thin-trunked trees of low stature (canopy < 20m) (Anderson, 1981; Ferreira, 2009; Adeney et al., 2016). Despite the increasing interest of scientists on WSE organisms (Fine & Baraloto., 2016; Vicentini, 2016; Lamarre et al., 2016; Capurucho et al., 2013; Borges et al., 2016; Fraga et al., 2018; Ferreira et al., 2019; Gonella et al., 2020), studies involving anurans from WSE are still rare. The few recently published studies have shown that WSE represent a source of poorly known and new species of anurans, many of which seems specialists or endemics to these environments (Carvalho et al., 2019a; Ferrão et al., 2019b; Ferrão et al., 2022; Mônico et al., in press).

In the present study, we present an unreported lineage from the white-sand forests of Central Amazonia and describe it through integrative taxonomy as a new species of the *Adenomera simonstuarti* complex.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Sampling

Fieldwork was conducted between 2019 and 2023 in three RAPELD (long-term ecological research modules) permanent sampling modules at the Rio Negro Sustainable Development Reserve (hereafter, RDS Rio Negro), municipality of Iranduba, state of Amazonas, Brazil (Fig. 1). Modules are installed near the km 18 (3°06'33.6"S, 60°40'29.0"W; 73 m above sea level [hereafter [asl]), km 26 (3°03'31.0"S, 60°45'42.0"W; 73 m asl) and km 50 (2°50'10.0"S, 60°50'20.0"W; 19 m asl) of the AM-352 highway. Adults were euthanized with 2% benzocaine topical solution, fixed in 10% formalin and preserved in 70% ethanol. Before fixation, tissue samples were collected of each specimen and stored in 100% ethanol. Specimens were deposited in the herpetological collections of the Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas da Amazônia – **INPA-H** (Manaus, Brazil), Museu Paraense Emílio Goeldi – **MPEG** (Belém, Brazil) and Museu de Zoologia da Universidade de Campinas – **ZUEC-AMP** (Campinas, Brazil).

Advertisement calls of six males of the new species (**INPA-H** 44867, **MPEG** 44649, **INPA-H** 44868–44869, **MPEG** 44652, **INPA-H** 44877 [field numbers APL 21878–81, 23721–22, respectively]) were recorded with a Sennheiser K6/ME66 unidirectional microphone (Sennheiser, Germany) coupled to a Marantz PMD660 digital recorder (Kanagawa, Japan), and with a Sony PCM-D50 digital recorder with built-in microphone. Recordings were stored in wav files with sampling rate of 44.1 kHz and sample size of 16 bits. The microphone was positioned ~50–100 cm from the focal active male. Air temperature during the recordings was 25°C. Recordings were deposited in the Neotropical Jacques Vielliard of the University of Campinas - **FNJV**

(Campinas, Brazil) under accession number FNJV 59561–59566. We also deposited a video of the species calling, photographs and a recordinig in the Sapoteca of the Programa de Pesquisa em Biodiversidade (PPBio), INPA.

To improve comparisons, 16 individuals of *Adenomera simonstuarti* sensu stricto were collected at Unidade de Gestão Ambiental do Acurauá (hereafter UGAI Acurauá), municipality of Tarauacá, state of Acre, Brazil. An individual of this locality was included in the phylogenetic inference of Carvalho et al. (2020) and nest with samples of *A. simonstuarti* sensu stricto from Peru, and advertisement calls of Acre population males match with the ones described in the original description of Angulo and Icochea, (2010). All males of the Acre population were found in the field through their vocalization, ensuring we collected the target taxa and not a close relative (e.g., *A. andreae*). See appendix 4 for morphometric measurements of individuals of *A. simonstuarti* sensu stricto collected in the present study.



Figure 1. Geographic distribution of *Adenomera simonstuarti* **species complex in central Amazonia, Amazonas, Brazil.** Green area = Reserva de Desenvolvimento Sustentável Rio Negro. Numbers: permanent sampling modules at (1) km 18, (2) km 26 and (3) 50 of the AM-352 highway; (4) Vale da Benção Community, Ramal do 25, Manacapuru. South American countries: BOL, Bolivia; COL, Colombia; PAR, Paraguay; PER, Peru; VEN, Venezuela.

Morphology

External morphology of the new species is described based on 21 males and 5 females. Sex was determined through direct inspection of sexual characters, such the presence of vocal slits, vocal sac and fleshy ridge on the snout tip in males, and oviducts in females. Morphometric measurements were taken to the nearest 0.1 mm using a digital caliper and an ocular micrometer coupled to a stereomicroscope. The following 16 morphometric measurements were taken following Watters *et al.* (2016): snout-vent length (SVL), head length (HL), head width (HW), snout length (SL), eye-nostril distance (EN), eye diameter (ED), interorbital distance (IOD) internarial distance (IND), tympanum diameter (TD), upper arm length (UAL), hand length (HAL), forearm length (FLL), thigh length (THL), tibia length (TL), and foot length (FL), and tarsus length (TSL). Toe tip development (character states) follows Heyer *et al.* (1973). Snout shape terminology follows Heyer *et al.* (2020a).

Vocalization

The advertisement call description and the following acoustic parameters follow Carvalho *et al.* (2019a): call duration (CD), notes per call (NpC), note duration (ND), note rise time (NrT), pulses per note (PpN), pulse duration (PD; measured for the first, central and last pulses of each note), dominant frequency (DF), fundamental frequency and frequency modulation (FM). Calls were analyzed with *Raven* 1.6.1 (Bioacoustics Research Program, 2017) configured as follows: Hamming window, filter bandwidth of 65 Hz, overlap of 90%, hop size of 2 ms, and Discrete Fourier Transform of 1,024 points. Dominant frequency was measured with the *peak frequency* function. Figures were produced in *R platform* (R Core Team, 2022) with the packages *seewave* 2.1.0 (Sueur et al., 2008) and *tuneR* 1.3.2 (Ligges et al., 2017). *Seewave* was set as follows: Hanning window, Fast Fourier Transform of 256 points, overlap of 90%.

Molecular phylogenetics

Genomic DNA was extracted from tissues of four individuals of *Adenomera* sp. nov. DNA was extracted using a Wizard genomic DNA Purification Kit (Promega Corp., Madison, WI, USA) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Fragments of Cytochrome c oxidase subunit I (COI) were amplified through polymerase chain reaction (PCR) using CHmL4 (5'-TYTCWACWAAYCAYAAAGAYATCGG-3') and CHmR4 (5'-ACYTCRGGRTGRCCRAARAATCA-3') (Che et al. 2012), under the following conditions: 60 s at 94°C followed by 35 cycles of 94°C (20 s), 50°C (50 s) and 72°C (90 s), and final extension of 10 minutes at 72°C. The final volume of the PCR reaction was 15 µL and contained 0.6 µL of 50 mM MgCl2, 1.2 µL of 10 mM dNTPs (2.5 mM each dNTP), 1.5 µL of tampon 10x (75 mM Tris HCl, 50 mM KCl, 20 mM (NH4)2SO4), 0,5 µL of each primer (10 µM), 9.55 µL of ddH2O and 0.15 µL of 1 U Taq DNA Polymerase and 1 µL of DNA (30–50 ng/µL).

The PCR products were purified using Exonuclease I and Thermosensitive Alkaline Phosphatase (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). After this, sequencing reactions were performed using standard protocols of the Big DyeTM

Terminator Kit (Applied Biosystems, Waltham, USA). We use an automated sequencer ABI Prism 3130 (ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, USA) to sequence the amplicons. Sequences were edited with Geneious 5.3.4 (Kearse et al., 2012). Newly generated sequences were deposited in the online repository GenBank under accession numbers OQ974333–36.

To infer phylogenetic relationships, we inserted the sequences we generated into a data set containing sequences retrieved from GenBank. Our dataset contains the genes cytochrome b (Cytb), cytochrome c oxidase subunit I (COI), recombination activating gene 1 (RAG1) and pro-opiomelanocortin C. These sequences represent all species of *Adenomera andreae* Clade, including all lineages of *A. simonstuarti* and *A.* sp. D and T (see Carvalho et al., 2020), and representants of all nominal clades (*i.e., A. heyeri* Clade, *A. hylaedactyla* clade, *A. lutzi* clade, *A. marmorata* clade, *A. martinezi* clade and *A. thomei* clade) as well as *Lithodytes lineatus* used as outgroup. In total, 65 sequences of CYTB, 76 sequences of COI, 65 sequences of RAG1 and 65 sequences of POMC were retrieved from GenBank (see Appendix 1). To align sequences of each gene we used the MAFFT online server following default parameters under the G-INS-i strategy. The final matrix was concatenated in Geneious 5.3.4 (Kearse et al., 2012) and is composed of 53 terminals and 3,293 base pairs (bp) (667 pb for Cytb; 657 for COI; 1422 for RAG1; 547 for POMC).

We divided the dataset considering first, second, and third codons of each proteincoding gene, and to infer partition schemes and evolutionary models we perform PartitionFinder 2.1.1 (Lanfear et al., 2017) under the corrected Akaike information criterion (AICc). The best evolutionary models for partitions in the concatenated matrix were: TIM+G for Cytb 1st and COI 3rd codons; SYM+I+G for Cytb 2nd codon; GTR+I+G for Cytb 3rd codon; TRNEF+I+G for COI 1st codon; F81+I+G for COI 2nd codon; TRN+I+G for RAG1 1st and 2nd codons; GTR+G for RAG1 3rd and POCM 1st codons; TVM+I+G for POMC 2nd codon; GTR+I for POMC 3rd codon. Phylogenetic relationship was reconstructed through Maximum Likelihood (ML). The ML tree was inferred using IQTREE (Nguyen et al., 2015) implemented in the online server http://iqtree.cibiv.univie.ac.at/ (Trifinopoulos et al., 2016). Clade support was estimated with 10,000 ultrafast bootstrap replications (Hoang et al., 2018) using 5,000 maximum iterations, 3,000 replicates and minimum correlation coefficient of 0.99.

Using the COI alignment, we calculated pairwise genetic distances (uncorrected p-distance and Kimura-two-parameter distance; Kimura, 1980) between the new species and closely related taxa of the *A. simonstuarti* species complex using MEGA 6 (Tamura et al., 2013).

Morphometric and bioacoustic analyses

Due to the phenotypic similarity between *A. simonstuarti* sensu stricto and the new species, we performed a Principal Component Analysis (PCA) to test the existence of statistical difference between the morphometric and bioacoustic multidimensional space each species occupies. Morphological analysis was performed only for males due to the low number of collected females in *A. simonstuarti* sensu stricto. Therefore, the same 16 morphometric and 9 acoustic measurements taken from the new species were also

taken from 14 (morphology) and 6 (acoustic) adult males of *A. simonstuarti*, respectively. To perform morphometric PCA we transform the raw data into 15 morphometric ratios as follows: HL/SVL, HW/HL, SL/HL, END/SL, IN/HW, ED/HW, IOD/HW, TYM/ED, FAL/THL, UAL/FAL, HAL/FAL, TL/TAL, FL/THL, THL/TL, TAL/TL. To perform acoustic PCA we use the following parameters: call duration, note duration, notes per call, pulses per note, pulse duration, note rise time, fundamental frequency, dominant frequency and frequency modulation. After performing acoustic PCA, we used the scores to perform a T-test and verify if the PC1 values for each species differs significantly.

Interspecific morphological comparisons

Phenotypic comparisons between the new species and its congeners were restricted to nominal species of Adenomera distributed in Amazonia: A. amicorum Carvalho, Moraes, Lima, Fouquet, Peloso, Pavan, Drummond, Rodrigues, Giaretta, Gordo, Neckel-Oliveira, and Haddad, 2021; A. andreae (Müller, 1923); A. aurantiaca Carvalho, Moraes, Lima, Fouquet, Peloso, Pavan, Drummond, Rodrigues, Giaretta, Gordo, Neckel-Oliveira, and Haddad, 2021; A. chicomendesi Carvalho, Angulo, Kokubum, Barrera, Souza, Haddad, and Giaretta, 2019a; A. glauciae Carvalho, Simões, Gagliardi-Urrutia, Rojas-Runjaic, Haddad and Castrovejo-Fisher, 2020b; A, gridipappi Carvalho, Moraes, Lima, Fouquet, Peloso, Pavan, Drummond, Rodrigues, Giaretta, Gordo, Neckel-Oliveira, and Haddad, 2021; A. guarayo Carvalho, Angulo, Barrera, Aguilar-Puntriano, and Haddad, 2020a; A. heyeri Boistel, Massary, and Angulo, 2006; A. hylaedactyla (Cope, 1868); A. inopinata Carvalho, Moraes, Lima, Fouquet, Peloso, Pavan, Drummond, Rodrigues, Giaretta, Gordo, Neckel-Oliveira, and Haddad, 2021; A. juikitam Carvalho and Giaretta, 2013a; A. kayapo Carvalho, Moraes, Lima, Fouquet, Peloso, Pavan, Drummond, Rodrigues, Giaretta, Gordo, Neckel-Oliveira, and Haddad, 2021; A. lutzi Heyer, 1975; A. martinezi (Bokermann, 1956); A. phonotriccus Carvalho, Giaretta, Angulo, Haddad, and Peloso, 2019b; A. simonstuarti (Angulo and Icochea, 2010); and A. tapajonica Carvalho, Moraes, Lima, Fouquet, Peloso, Pavan, Drummond, Rodrigues, Giaretta, Gordo, Neckel-Oliveira, and Haddad, 2021. Detailed comparisons are provided for closely related species indicated by phylogenetic relationships (A. simonstuarti).

RESULTS

Phylogenetic relationships and genetic distances

Individuals of *Adenomera* sp. nov. nest together as a completely new lineage within the *A. simonstuarti* species complex (sensu Carvalho et al., 2020b), which nest within the *A. andreae* clade (Fig. 2). The new species is sister to the lineage *A. simonstuarti* 2 collected in the lower Juruá River, in Brazil. Clades representing these lineages are the shallowest within the species complex and genetic p-distance (Table 1) between them is 2.9% for COI. Peruvian and Brazilian individuals of *A. simonstuarti* sensu stricto is recovered as sister to *A. simonstuarti* 1, *A. simonstuarti* 2 and *A.* sp. nov. The lineage *A. simonstuarti* 8 is placed as the most basal within the species complex. Genetic p-distance between the new species and *A. simonstuarti* sensu stricto is 5.1%.

Table 1. Pairwise genetic distances (%) between taxa of the Adenomerasimonstuarti species complex and related species of the A. andreae clade andoutgorup. Interspecific uncorrected p-distances (lower diagonal) and Kimura-2

	Species	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	12	14	15
1	A. sp. nov.	0.0	3.9	2.9	5.4	5.6	5.0	5.4	5.5	7.0	13.7	17.4	13.8	25.2
2	A. simonstuarti 1	3.8	0.02	3.7	5.7	6.1	5.2	6.2	4.6	7.1	14.0	16.7	14.4	26.2
3	A. simonstuarti 2	2.8	3.6	0.0	5.5	7.0	5.6	6.1	5.5	7.5	14.6	17.1	14.4	25.2
4	A. simonstuarti SS	5.1	5.4	5.2	0.0	5.4	5.0	5.4	5.3	7.4	13.6	16.7	14.8	24.2
5	A. simonstuarti 4	5.3	5.8	6.5	5.1	0.0	4.1	7.5	5.7	7.7	14.5	15.7	14.0	23.7
6	A. simonstuarti 5	4.8	4.9	5.3	4.8	3.9	n/c	6.6	3.9	7.3	13.8	16.6	15.1	24.8
7	A. simonstuarti 6	5.1	5.8	5.8	5.2	7.0	6.2	n/c	6.1	7.0	14.1	17.6	14.4	24.8
8	A. simonstuarti 7	5.3	4.4	5.2	5.0	5.4	3.8	5.8	n/c	6.7	15.5	16.6	14.7	25.8
9	A. simonstuarti 8	6.5	6.7	7.0	6.9	7.2	6.9	6.5	6.4	n/c	13.0	16.5	12.6	23.5
10	A. andreae	12.3	12.5	12.9	12.2	12.9	12.3	12.6	13.7	11.7	0.6	17.0	15.3	24.0
12	A. guarayo	15.1	14.6	14.9	14.6	13.9	14.5	15.2	14.5	14.5	14.9	0.3	19.2	25.1
14	A. chicomendesi	12.3	12.8	12.8	13.1	12.5	13.3	12.8	13.0	11.4	13.4	16.6	0.5	26.2
15	L. lineatus	21.1	21.8	21.1	20.4	20.1	20.8	20.9	21.5	19.9	20.3	21.0	21.8	n/c

parameters (upper diagonal) were based on a fragment of COI.



Figure 2. Phylogenetic tree of the *Adenomera andreae* species clade with a focus on the *A. simonstuarti* species complex. Maximum likelihood inferred based on Cytb, COI, RAG1 and POMC. Lineage numbering within *A. simonstuarti* species complex follows Carvalho et al. 2020. Species names are preceded by respective museum voucher numbers. Symbols are the same as in Figure 1.

Morphometric and bioacoustic analyses

The first two Principal Components (PCs) of morphometric and bioacoustic PCA explained ~ 44% and ~ 92% of data variance, respectively. Neither the centroids of morphometric (Fig. 3A) nor bioacoustic (3B) spaces occupied by *Adenomera* sp. nov. overlap with those of *Adenomera simonstuarti* sensu stricto. The three morphometric

ratio which most contributed to the variation of PC1 are ED/HW, TL/TAL and TYM/ED. The three bioacoustic parameters which most contributed to the variation of PC1 are pulses per note, dominant frequency, and fundamental frequency. For data of other variables in PC1 and PC2 of morphometric and bioacoustic analyses, see table 2.



Figure 3. Morphometric and bioacoustic Principal Component Analyses. Analyses were based on 15 morphometric ratios of males and 9 parameters of calls of *Adenomera* sp. nov. (blue triangles) and *A. simonstuarti* sensu stricto (red circles). Ellipses means standard error with confidence interval = 95%. Recordings used in the analysis: FNJV 59562–59571.

For bioacoustic, we perform T-test using the PC1 scores of acoustic PCA analysis and the result corroborates those of this previous analysis (T-test: t = 28.1993; df = 10, P = 0.0001).

Table 2: Loadings of 15 morphometric and 9 acoustic ratios on the first principal
components. For morphometric PCA, values were generated by a principal component analysis
on 20 males of Adenomera sp nov. and 14 males of Adenomera simonstuarti sensu stricto. For
acoustic PCA, values were generated by a principal component analysis on 6 males of
Adenomera sp nov. and 6 males of Adenomera simonstuarti sensu stricto.

Mo	rphometric		Acoustic			
Variables	PC 1	PC 2	Variables	PC 1	PC 2	
HL/SVL	0.295	-0.205	CD	0.969	-0.101	
HW/HL	-0.571	0.456	ND	-0.969	-0.001	
SL/HL	0.338	0.363	NpC	0.964	-0.106	
END/SL	0.473	0.159	NrT	0.696	0.474	
IN/HW	0.425	-0.124	PpN	-0.993	-0.003	
ED/HW	0.826	0.161	PD	0.952	-0.032	
IOD/HW	0.672	-0.044	FF	0.987	-0.099	

TYM/ED	-0.705	-0.260	DF	-0.995	0.048
FAL/THL	0.243	0.812	FM	0.045	0.955
UAL/FAL	-0.131	-0.697			
HAL/FAL	0.121	-0.376			
TL/TAL	-0.739	0.278			
FL/THL	0.222	0.314			
THL/TL	-0.093	-0.814			
TAL/TL	0.703	-0.419]		

Table 3. Spectral and temporal parameters of the advertisement call of *Adenomera* **sp. nov. and** *A. simonstuarti* **sensu stricto.** Values depict average, standard deviation and range. Symbols: *, same values of call duration because the call is composed of only one note; **, measured by Carvalho et al. (2020b) from calls of the type locality in Cusco and Tarauacá. Abbreviation: SS, sensu stricto. Traits acronyms are described in the main text.

Call traits	Adenomera sp. nov. $(n = 6)$	A. simonstuarti SS ($n = 6$)	**A. simonstuarti SS (n -2)
			- 2)
CD (ms)	$142 \pm 19.0 (100-199), n = 148$	$4,700 \pm 1,400 (1,800-7,000), n = 93$	800–6,500
ND	*	64 ± 10 (40–93), n = 93	57–79
NpC	$1 \pm 0 \ (1-1), n = 148$	22.4 ± 6.5 (9–33), n = 93	4–30
NrT (%)	28.4 ± 19.9 (2–73), n = 90	50 ± 14 (16–76), n = 93	13–73
PpN	$14.8 \pm 1.9 (11-21), n = 148$	3.4 ± 0.7 (2–6), n = 93	2–3
PD (ms)	$10 \pm 3.3 \ (4-23), n = 444$	26.4 ± 6.4 (10–53), n = 192	10–53
FF (Hz)	1,986 ± 0.1 (1,765–2,239), n =	3,987 ± 0.16 (3,617–4,263), n = 93	-
	148		
DF (Hz)	3,899 ± 1.3 (3,448–4,349), n =	$1,991 \pm 0.05 (1,851-2,224), n = 93$	1,873–2,046
	148		
FM (Hz)	273.8 ± 238.1 (-173–861), n =	261.7 ± 119.7 (-173–517), n = 93	43-301
	90		

TAXONOMIC ACCOUNT

Adenomera sp. nov. Adenomera gr. heyeri (Lima et al. 2021) (Tables 3–4; Figs 4–7; 9B, C, D)

Holotype

INPA-H 44867 (Field number APL 21878), an adult male collected at km 26 of the AM-352 highway, Reserva do Desenvolvimento Sustentável do Rio Negro (03°05'35" S, 60°40'36" W; 76 m asl), municipality of Iranduba, state of Amazonas, Brazil on 11 December 2020 by M. Ferrão, A. P. Lima and W. E. Magnusson.

Paratopotypes

Twenty-four adult individuals from the same locality as the holotype. Eight males MPEG 44649, INPA-H 44868–44871, ZUEC AMP 25694, INPA-H 44872–44873 (field numbers 21879–21886, respectively) collected on 11 December 2020 by M. Ferrão, A. P. Lima and W. E. Magnusson; four females INPA-H 44874, ZUEC AMP

25695, MPEG 44650, INPA-H 44875 (field numbers APL 23715–23718, respectively) collected on 10 December 2021 by M. Ferrão, A. P. Lima and B. Martins; four males INPA-H 44876, MPEG 44651–44652, INPA-H 44877 (field numbers APL 23719–23722, respectively) collected on 11 December 2021 by M. Ferrão, A. Lima and B. Martins; four males INPA-H 44878–44880, ZUEC AMP 25696 (field numbers APL 23723–23726, respectively) collected on 12 December 2021 by M. Ferrão, A. P. Lima and B. Martins; a male INPA-H 44881 (field number APL 23736) collected on 19 January 2022 by B. Martins; a male INPA-H 44882 (field number APL 23739) collected on 3 February 2022 by B. Martins; a male ZUEC AMP 25697 (field number APL 23944) and a female INPA-H 44883 (field number APL 23947) collected on 14 May 2022 by B. Martins.

Paratype

One adult male INPA-H 44885 (field number BCM1), collected at km 50 of the AM-352 Highway, Reserva do Desenvolvimento Sustentável do Rio Negro (2°50'10.0"S 60°50'20.0"W), municipality of Iranduba, state of Amazonas, Brazil on 12 January 2023 by B. Martins.

Generic placement

The species *Adenomera* sp. nov can be assigned to the genus *Adenomera* based on its small SVL in comparison with other leptodactylids (smaller than 34.1 mm), lack of fringing and webbing between the toes (Fig. 5) and absence of spines in adult males' thumb (Fig. 5A).

Diagnosis

The species *Adenomera* sp. nov. can be recognized by the following combination of characters. (1) Medium size (adult male SVL = 21.2-23.0 mm, n = 21; adult female SVL 22.1–24.3, n = 5); (2) snout rounded in dorsal view and acuminated in lateral view; (3) absence of antebrachial tubercle; (4) toe tips moderately to fully expanded (character states C–D); (5) throat in males with condensed melanophores near the jaw and scattered melanophores on the central portion, (6) advertisement call composed of a single pulsed note; (7) notes formed by 11–21 partly fused pulses; (8) dominant frequency of 3,448–4,349 Hz, (9) dominant frequency contained within the second harmonic.

Table 4. Morphometric measurements of the type series of Adenomera sp. nov.(RDS Rio Negro, Iranduba, Amazonas, Brazil) and A. simonstuarti sensu stricto(Tarauacá, Acre, Brazil). Values depict average, standard deviation and range. Traitacronyms are explained in the text. * Holotype included.

		Adenomera sp. nov.	Adenomera simons	stuarti sensu stricto	
Trait	Holotype	Males $(n = 21)^*$	Females $(n = 5)$	Males $(n = 14)$	Females $(n = 2)$
SVL	22.9	$21.9 \pm 0.5 \; (21.2 23.0)$	$23.7 \pm 0.9 \; (22.1 {-} 24.3)$	$24.9 \pm 0.7 \; (23.9 {-} 26.4)$	$24.4 \pm 2.0 \; (23.0 25.8)$
HL	8.4	7.9 ± 0.3 (7.4–8.4)	$8.1\pm 0.4\;(7.48.5)$	$8.9 \pm 0.3 \; (8.2 9.4)$	$8.5\pm 0.5\;(8.1{-}8.8)$
HW	8.5	8.1 ± 0.3 (7.6–8.7)	$8.4 \pm 0.6 \; (7.6 9)$	$9.2\pm 0.3\;(8.79.7)$	$9.2 \pm 0.6 \ (8.7 - 9.6)$

SL	3.8	$3.5 \pm 0.2 \ (3.3 - 3.9)$	$3.4 \pm 0.3 \ (2.9 - 3.8)$	$3.8 \pm 0.2 \; (3.5 4.0)$	$3.4 \pm 0.5 \; (3.1 - 3.8)$
EN	2.0	$2.0\pm 0.1\;(1.92.2)$	$2.3 \pm 0.2 \ (2.0 - 2.5)$	$2.0 \pm 0.1 \ (2.0 - 2.2)$	$2.0 \pm 0.4 \ (1.8 - 2.3)$
IND	2.4	$2.3 \pm 0.1 \ (2.0 - 2.4)$	$2.3 \pm 0.1 \; (2.1 2.5)$	$2.6 \pm 0.1 \ (2.5 - 2.7)$	$2.5 \pm 0.1 \; (2.4 – 2.5)$
ED	2.4	$2.5 \pm 0.1 \; (2.2 – 2.7)$	$2.6 \pm 0.2 \ (2.3 - 2.8)$	$2.3 \pm 0.2 \ (2.1 - 2.6)$	$2.3 \pm 0.1 \ (2.2 - 2.4)$
IOD	5.4	$5.4 \pm 0.2 \; (5.0 5.8)$	$5.6 \pm 0.4 \; (4.9 5.9)$	$5.8 \pm 0.2 \; (5.6 6.3)$	$5.6 \pm 0.2 \; (5.4 5.7)$
TD	1.4	1.4 ± 0.1 (1.2–1.5)	1.4 ± 0.1 (1.2–1.5)	1.5 ± 0.1 (1.3–1.8)	$1.5 \pm 0.1 \; (1.5 - 1.6)$
FAL	4.7	$4.5 \pm 0.3 \; (4.0 5.0)$	5.1 ± 0.5 (4.7–6)	$5.0\pm 0.3\;(4.75.8)$	$5.1 \pm 0.3 \; (5.1 5.6)$
UAL	4.4	4.1 ± 0.4 (3.1–4.8)	$4.6 \pm 0.5 \; (4.1 - 5.4)$	$5.0 \pm 0.3 \; (4.5 - 5.5)$	$5.3 \pm 0.3 \; (5.1 5.6)$
HAL	4.9	4.5 ± 0.2 (4.0-4.9)	4.7 ± 0.2 (4.5–4.9)	$5.2 \pm 0.2 \ (4.8 - 5.6)$	5.1 ± 0.4 (4.8–5.3)
TL	9.5	$9.7\pm 0.5\;(8.910.8)$	$10.9\pm0.2\;(10.5{-}11.1)$	11.1 ± 0.6 (10.0–12.1)	11.4 ± 0.4 (11.1–11.6)
FL	10.5	$10.0\pm0.4\;(9.5{-}10.7)$	$10.7\pm0.3\;(10.5{-}11.2)$	$11.5 \pm 0.5 \ (10.5 - 12.2)$	11.6 ± 0.6 (11.2–12.0)
THL	9.4	9.2 ± 0.3 (8.9–10)	9.7 ± 0.8 (8.4–10.2)	$10.8 \pm 0.7 \ (9.8 - 11.8)$	11.1 ± 0.6 (10.6–11.5)
TSL	5.5	5.6 ± 0.3 (5.0-6.2)	6.1 ± 0.2 (5.9–6.5)	$6.2 \pm 0.4 \ (5.5 - 6.8)$	$6.2 \pm 0.5 (5.8 - 6.5)$

Morphological and acoustic interspecific comparisons

Adult males of Adenomera sp. nov. have SVL of 21.2–23.0 mm, being smaller than those of A. glauciae (SVL 27.6-30.4; Carvalho et al., 2020b), A. gridipappi (SVL 25.4-27.7 mm; Carvalho et al., 2021) and A. lutzi (SVL 25.7-33.5 mm; Kok et al., 2007) and larger than A. juikitam (SVL 19.1–19.5mm; Carvalho and Giaretta, 2013a) and A. kayapo (SVL 17.5–21.0 mm; Carvalho et al., 2021). Adenomera sp. nov. has a snout rounded in dorsal view and differs from A. martinezi (snout pointed in dorsal view; Carvalho & Giaretta, 2013b). The absence of antebrachial tubercle differs Adenomera sp. nov. from A. amicorum, A. aurantiaca, A. glauciae, A. gridipappi, A. inopinata, A. kayapo, A. lutzi, A. tapajonica and A. phonotriccus (antebrachial tubercle present in all mentioned species; Kok et al., 2007; Carvalho et al., 2019b; Carvalho et al., 2020c; Carvalho et al., 2021). Adenomera sp. nov. has toe tips moderately to fully expanded, states C-D (sensu Heyer, 1973) and differs from A. cotuba, A. hylaedactyla, A. juikitam and A. martinezi (states A-B; Carvalho et al., 2019c; Carvalho & Giaretta, 2013a, b). Adenomera sp. nov. differs from A. andreae, A. chicomendesi, A. guarayo, A. heyeri and A. hylaedactyla by the presence of nearly solid dark-colored stripe on underside of forearm (absence; Carvalho et al., 2019a-c; Carvalho et al., 2021). Adenomera sp. nov. differs from A. simonstuarti by having smaller SVL, absence of a longitudinal dark blotch on each side of the throat demarking the lateral vocal sac in males and for the absence of prominent stretch marks on the dorsum (SVL 23.4-26.2 mm, presence of longitudinal dark blotches and presence of prominent stretch marks; Angulo and Icochea, 2010; Carvalho et al., 2020b; present study; see appendix 5).

The advertisement call of *Adenomera* sp. nov. is composed of a single pulsednote, which differs from *A. amicorum*, *A. glauciae*, *A. gridipappi*, *A. inopinata* and *A. simonstuarti* sensu stricto (multi-note calls in all cited species; Angulo and Icochea, 2010; Carvalho et al., 2020b; Carvalho et al., 2021). *Adenomera* sp. nov. has calls composed of 11–21 pulses, which differs it from *A. amicorum* (4–10 pulses; Carvalho et al., 2021c), *A. andreae* (3–10 pulses; Carvalho et al., 2019c), *A. aurantiaca* (5–7 pulses; Carvalho et al., 2021), *A. chicomendesi* (22–35 pulses; Carvalho et al., 2019a), *A. gridipappi* (2–4; Carvalho et al., 2021), *A. heyeri* (4–12 pulses; Carvalho et al., 2021), *A. hylaedactyla* (4–10; Carvalho et al., 2019c), *A. inopinata* (4–5 pulses; Carvalho et al., 2021) and *A. tapajonica* (3–5 pulses; Carvalho et al., 2021) and *A. glauciae* (unpulsed; Carvalho et al., 2020b) and *A. simonstuarti* sensu stricto (2–6 pulses; this study). *Adenomera* sp. nov. has incomplete pulses and differs from *A. aurantiaca*, *A. guarayo*, *A. inopinata* and *A. phonotriccus* (complete pulses in all mentioned species; Carvalho et al., 2019b; Carvalho et al., 2020a; Carvalho et al., 2021). *Adenomera* sp. nov. has dominant frequency of 3,448–4,349 Hz and differs from *A. kayapo* (4,570–4,990 Hz; Carvalho et al., 2021) and *A. simonstuarti* sensu stricto (1,851–2,224 Hz; this study). The dominant frequency of *Adenomera* sp. nov. is placed in the second harmonic and differs from *A. simonstuarti* sensu stricto (dominant frequency in the fundamental harmonic).

Description of holotype

Adult male (Fig. 4A, B, C; 5A, C) Snout subovoid in dorsal view and acuminate in profile. Dorsal skin smooth and glandular, warty on flank. Dorsolateral folds indistinct. Sacral region, dorsal surface of tibia and posterior surface of tarsus with white-tipped tubercles. Vertebral stripe on sacral region. Tibia long than thigh. Throat, belly and ventral surface of limbs smooth. Pair of lumbar glands. Posterior surface of thigh with a pair of paracloacal glands. Nostrils are closer to the snout tip than the eyes and oriented dorsolaterally; fleshy ridge on the snout tip. Eye nostril distance = 83% eye diameter, eve diameter = inter narial distance. Head wider than long. Internarial distance > 25% of head width. Canthus rostralis defined; loreal region slightly concave. Triangle-shaped mark on the head. Tympanum distinct, nearly 60% of the eye diameter; black-coloured supratympanic fold well developed, extending from the posterior corner of the eve to base of arm. Postcommissural gland ovoid. Subgular vocal sac; vocal slits present. Vomerine teeth in two straight rows posterior to choanae and arranged in transverse series parallel to choanae. Tongue lanceolate (sensu Duellman, 1970) and free behind. Relative fingers lengths $IV < I \approx II < III$; absence of fringes or webbing in fingers; finger tips rounded, slight expanded but without disc; inner metacarpal tubercle elliptical; outer metacarpal tubercle rounded; distinct rounded grayish-coloured subarticular tubercles of the underside of fingers; supernumerary tubercles rounded; antebrachial tubercle absent; prepollical spine absent. Elliptical axilar grand. Toe lengths IV > III > V > II > I; toe tips flattened or slightly flattened, with visible expansions (character states C-D according to Heyer [1973]); fringes or webbing absent; Inner metatarsal tubercle elliptical; outer metatarsal tubercle rounded. Tarsal fold from the inner metatarsal tubercle extending 2/3 of tarsus length. Subarticular tubercles among elliptical and rounded and supernumerary tubercles rounded.



Figure 4. Male holotype and female paratype of *Adenomera* **sp. nov.** (A–C) Male holotype, INPA-H 44868 and (D–F) female paratopotype INPA-H 44875. Photographs: B. C. Martins.



Figure 5. Ventral views of the hand and foot of the *Adenomera* **sp. nov.** (A, C) Male holotype INPA-H 44867 and (B, D) female paratopotype, INPA-H 44875 of *Adenomera* **sp.** nov. Scale bars: 3 mm. Photographs: B. C. Martins.

Color holotype in life

We followed Köhler (2012) as color catalogue. Name of the color in *italic*. Color code = cc. Repeated colors will not show color code. Snout tip with a *cinnamon-drab* (cc 50), fleshy ridge *pale neutral grey* (cc 296). *Light sky blue* (cc 191) coloration of the blotches on the upper and lower lips. Postcommissural gland with melanophores.

Tympanum *dark carmine* (cc 61) in the edge and *Buff* (cc 5) in the center. *Vandyke brown* (cc 281) supratympanic fold. Thoracic dorsal surface of body *Prout's brown* (cc 47); lumbar region *cinnamon-drab* (cc 50) with white-tipped tubercles. Interorbital region *sepia* (cc 286). Flank *Dark spectrum yellow* (cc 78). *Sepia* (cc 286) triangle-shaped blotch. Dorsal surface of forelimbs *Tawny* (cc 60) with *Raw umber* (cc 280) blotches. Dorsal surface of hindlimbs *True cinnamon* (cc 260) with transverse *Raw umber* bars. *Medium chrome orange* (cc 75) vertebral stripe in sacral region. Paracloacal region and lumbar glands *sepia* coloration. Throat *Pale mauve* (cc 204) with low density of melanophores around the jaw; belly *light buff* (cc 2) and chest and underside of limbs as the same color as throat. Underside of forearm with *dark grayish olive* (cc 275) nearly solid stripe. Palm of hand, sole of foot, digits and subarticular tubercles almost completely covered with melanophores. Metatarsal, proximal and medial phalanx have a *Fuscuous* (cc 283) stripe in the ventral view. This character is not present in distal phalanx and toe tip.

Color holotype in preservative

See figures 4A, B, C and 5A, C to see the holotype's color in preservative. Snout tip with a pale neutral grey coloration (cc 296), as well as the fleshy ridge. Pale neutral grey coloration of the blotches on the upper and lower lips. Postcommissural gland with melanophores. Tympanum dark grab (cc 45). Vandyke brown (cc 281) supratympanic fold. Thoracic dorsal surface of body hair brown (cc 277); lumbar region cinnamondrab (cc 50) with white-tipped tubercles. Interorbital region sepia (cc 279). Flank pale buff (cc 1). Dark gravish brown (cc 284) triangle-shaped blotch. Dorsal surface of forelimbs *pale buff* (cc 1) with *drab* (cc 19) blotches. Dorsal surface of hindlimbs *tawny* olive (cc 17) with transverse bars sepia (cc 279) with white-tipped tubercles. Pale buff vertebral stripe in sacral region. Paracloacal region and lumbar glands *sepia* coloration. Throat *light buff* (cc 2) with melanophores and a greater density around the jaw; belly light buff and chest and underside of limbs pale pinkish buff (cc 3). Underside of forearm with brownish olive (cc 276) nearly solid stripe. Palm of hand, sole of foot, digits and subarticular tubercles almost completely covered with melanophores. All individuals have *fuscuous* stripe in metatarsal and proximal and medial phalanx (see Fig. 5C, D).



Intraspecific variation

Figure 6. Three dorsal coloration patterns of Adenomera sp. nov. in life.

(A)Absence of dark blotches or only a few, (B) presence of many dark blotches and (C) presence of a dorsolateral stripe. Photographs: S. P. Dantas (A, C); A. T. Mônico (B). Unvouchered specimens.

Morphometric variation of the new species is summarized in Table 4. The type series shows three coloration patterns on dorsum: absence of dark blotches or only a few (Fig. 6A; 7A); presence of many dark blotches (Fig 6B; 7D); and presence of a dorsolateral stripe (Fig. 6C; 8G). Sixty-eight percent of the type series (including the holotype) fits the first mentioned pattern; 20% have many dark spots; and only 12% have a dorsolateral stripe. A sacral stripe is present in 64% of the series. About 52% of paratypes have toe tip shape in the stage D (sensu Heyer 1972), while 48% have toe tip shape in an intermediary form of stages C–D. All individuals have a triangle-shaped mark on the head (Fig. 6), which is less visible in individuals without blotches on the dorsum. The texture of dorsum varies between rough and smooth, with few to many glandules. The iris is always *chrome orange* (color code 74 from Kohler., 2012). Throat and belly have a small variation in melanophore density (see Fig. 7B, E, H).



Figure 7. Dorsal, ventral and lateral views of the three coloration patterns of *Adenomera* **sp. nov. in preservative.** Paratypes: A–C (INPA-H 44869); D–F (INPA-H 44870); and G–I (INPA-H 44877). Photographs: L. R. Mendonça.

Advertisement call

The advertisement call of *Adenomera* sp. nov. consists of a single note with partially fused pulses. Pulse number varies from 11 to 21; pulse duration from 4 to 23 ms. The note duration varies from 100 to 199 ms. The fundamental frequency of the note varies



from 1,765 to 2,239 Hz, the dominant frequency varies from 3,746 to 4,349 Hz and corresponds to the second harmonic (Table 3; Fig. 8).

Figure 8. Advertisement call of *Adenomera* sp. nov. (A, B) and *A. simonstuarti* sensu stricto (C, D). (A, B) INPA-H 44876 (FNJV 59564), RDS Rio Negro, Iranduba, Amazonas, Brazil. (C, D) INPA-H 44904 (FNJV 59568), Taracuá, Acre, Brazil.

Distribution, habitat and natural history

The species *Adenomera* sp. nov. is only known from the White-sand ecosystems between West Negro and Solimões River, specifically in Reserva de Desenvolvimento Sustentável Rio Negro and nearby localities, municipalities of Iranduba and Manacapuru, Amazonas, Brazil, where these ecosystems are predominant (Fig. 1; Fig. 9A). Two other species of *Adenomera* occur in sympatry with the new species: *A. hylaedactyla* and *A. andreae*. Although these species occur in the same region, the habitat is not the same; *A. andreae* inhabit mainly unflooded forests, while *A. hylaedactyla* occurs in open areas. On the other hand, *Adenomera* sp. nov. inhabits white-sand forests under flooding regimes close to streams. At the type locality, *A. andreae* and the new species occur in syntopy in the border between forests under flooding regimes and those unflooded.

Males call on the ground, above or hidden in the leaf litter (Fig. 9B). They start calling at dusk (~17:00h) and remain calling until ~18:00h. Sometimes, it is possible to listen isolated individuals singing after this time, but it is not usual.

Adult males are easily found, and juveniles are also not difficult to observe. However, females (Fig. 9B) are very secretive. The new species build foam nests for depositing their eggs (Fig. 9D). The foam nests are very difficult to find once its localization is under the leaf litter among the roots of palm trees and ferns. Aspects of breeding biology of the new species is the subject of another study conducted by third parts.



Figure 9. Natural aspects of *Adenomera* **sp. nov.** (A) Example of species habitat; (B) male during vocalization on the leaf litter; (C) female hide into leaf litter; (D) foam nest. Photographs: A. T. Mônico (A), S. P. Dantas (B–D).

Conservation

The species *Adenomera* sp. nov. is distributed into an area of approximately 150km² belonging to RDS Rio Negro and close locality. Although the species being known only from a small area, it is very common in this place and expected to occur in other parts of the RDS Rio Negro and in the nearby Jaú National Park. The new species occurs mainly in riparian white-sand forests; these environments are very sensible to anthropization once streams can be easily polluted. However, the fact that the known distribution is mostly in a protected area and its local abundance likely favor the species preservation.

Discussion

Over the years, many undescribed species were erroneously related to nominal species which were supposedly widespread (*e.g.*, *Allobates caldwellae*, *Atelopus manauensis*,

Pristimantis guianensis; Lima et al., 2020; Jorge et al. 2020; Mônico et al., 2022). However, the integration of molecular, acoustic and morphological data in recent years can help taxonomists to delimit and describe new species (Fouquet et al., 2014; Moraes et al., 2022; Carvalho et al., 2021). The crypsis of the genus *Adenomera* linked to syntopy and sympatry has challenged taxonomists. A great example of crypsis is that of the *A. simonstuarti* species complex. Fouquet et al. (2014) recovered six lineages belonging to this complex (*A. simonstuarti* sensu stricto and other five lineages). Then, Carvalho et al. (2020) published additional data and delimited eight lineages. However, none of them represented the new species from RDS Rio Negro described in the present study. This new species is the easternmost taxon belonging to the *A. simonstuarti* complex, which is an indication that this complex might be more widespread and diverse than previously thought.

The species *Adenomera* sp. nov. is the first in the *A. simonstuarti* complex to be described since the original description of *A. simonstuarti* (Angulo and Icochea, 2010). It is sister to *A.* aff. *simonstuarti* 2 (sensu Carvalho et al., 2020) from the Cumaru community at Reserva Extrativista Baixo Juruá, lower Juruá River, Brazil. Despite the low genetic divergence between these taxa suggests a conspecific status, the low number of individuals and the absence of acoustic data of *A.* aff. *simonstuarti* Lineage 2 hamper its identification. Although we find plausible that *Adenomera* sp. nov. occurs in other areas within the Negro-Solimões interfluve, the species is only known from RDS Rio Negro and a nearby locality. Additional sampling between them and properly test whether they are or not conspecific taxa.

The first species of the genus to be described from a white-sand environment (WSE) is *Adenomera* sp. nov. Although this species is likely a specialist in WSE, it seems limited to WSE in the Negro-Solimões interfluve. Other frogs have been suggested as specialists or endemics to WSE in this interfluve, such as *Scinax albertinae* (Ferrão et al. 2022), *Pristimantis* aff. *ockendeni* (Mônico, personal communication) and *Osteocephalus vilarsi* (Ferrão et al. 2019). Moreover, two candidate species (*Rhinella* aff. *probocidea* and *Pristimantis* aff. *orcus*) apparently has their geographic distribution associated with WSE and limited within the West Negro-Solimões interfluve (M. Ferrão and A. T. Mônico, unpublished data). The congruence of frog species sharing this habitat specialization and distribution pattern endemic reinforce the Jaú region as an area of endemism in Amazonia (see Borges & Da Silva, 2012).

Conclusion

The species *Adenomera* sp. nov. is assigned to *Adenomera simonstuarti* species complex belonging to the *Adenomera andreae* clade. The integration of morphological, acoustic and molecular data helps us to delimit this lineage. Although genetic and morphology being distinct between the new species and *Adenomera simonstuarti* sensu stricto as we show, the advertisement call is highly divergent. The new species has a single-note call while the *Adenomera simonstuarti* has a multi-note call. Temporal and spectral parameters are also very distinct between then. *Adenomera* sp. nov. is the

easternmost lineage into Adenomera simonstuarti species complex and the first species of the genus to be described from white-sand forests.

Acknowledgement

We thank Cianir Mendonca, Silionamã P. Dantas and William E. Magnusson for fieldwork assistance; to Lucas R. Mendonça and Silionamã P. Dantas for assistance to photographs; to Igor Y. Fernandes and Esteban D. Koch for assistance to phylogenetics; to Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas da Amazônia (INPA) for logistic assistance (specially to Andressa Viana) and for supporting molecular data acquisition in the Laboratório Temático de Biologia Molecular (LTBM); to Ana Prudente (MEPG), João (MPEG), Felipe Toledo (ZUEC-AMP, FNJV), Fernand P. Werneck (INPA-H), Ariane Silva (INPA-H) and Simone Dena (FNJV) for access to collections under their care; to Marcelo N. C. Kokubum, Thaiz C. Condez and Thiago R. de Carvalho for important suggestions in an earlier version of this manuscript; to Thiago R. de Carvalho for assistance with bioacoustic analysis; to Laboratório de Entomologia Sistemática Urbana e Forense (LESUF-INPA; specially to Larissa L. de Queiroz) for assistance with photographs of the holotype and a female paratype; to the Cornell Lab of Ornithology for providing a free license of Raven; to Instituto Chico Mendes de Conservação da Biodiversidade/Sistema de Autorização e Informação em Biodiversidade (Process nº 81575-1), Secretaria de Estado do Meio Ambiente (Process SIGED nº 01.01.030101.003202/2021-21) and SEMAPI for sampling permits.

Funding

This study was funded by Fundação de Amparo a Pesquisa do Estado do Amazonas (FAPEAM-UNIVERSAL, Edital 002/2018, proc. N° 062.00187/2019; and BIODIVERSA, Edital 007/2021, proc. 001760.2021-00). National Council for Scientific and Technological Development (CNPq Universal Grant n°: 401120/2016-3 to A.P.L.). Bryan C. Martins received a Master's Fellowship from FAPEAM (process n°. 008/2021). Miquéias Ferrão received an Edward O. Wilson Biodiversity Postdoctoral Fellowship from the Harvard Museum of Comparative Zoology and a fellowship from the David Rockefeller Center for Latin American Studies of Harvard University.

REFERENCES

- Adeney JM, Christensen NL, Vicentini, A, Cohn-haft M. 2016. White-sand Ecosystems in Amazonia. *Biotropica* 48: 7–23.
- Anderson AB. 1981. White-sand vegetation of Brazilian Amazonia. *Biotropica* 13: 199–210.
- Angulo A, Icochea J. 2010. Cryptic species complexes, widespread species and conservation: lessons from Amazonian frogs of the *Leptodactylus marmoratus* group (Anura: Leptodactylidae). *Systematics and Biodiversity* 8: 357–370.

- Bioacoustics Research Program. 2014. Raven Pro: interactive sound analysis software. Version 1.5. Ithaca, New York: The Cornell Lab of Ornithology. https://ravensoundsoftware.com/software/raven-pro. Acessed 05 Jan 2023.
- Boistel R, Massary JC, Angulo A. 2006. Description of a new species of the genus Adenomera (Amphibia, Anura, *Leptodactylidae*) from French Guiana. *Acta Herpetologica* 1: 1–14.
- Borges SH, da Silva JMC. 2012. A New Area of Endemism for Amazonian Birds in the Rio Negro Basin. *The Wilson Journal of Ornithology* 124(1): 15–23. 10.1676/07-103.1
- Borges SH, Cornelius C, Moreira M, Ribas CC, Conh-Haft M, Capurucho JM, Vargas C, Almeida R. 2016. Bird Communities in Amazonian White-Sand Vegetation Patches: Effects of Landscape Configuration and Biogeographic Context. *Biotropica* 48: 121–131.
- Capurucho JMG, Cornelius C, Borges SH, Cohn-Haft M, Aleixo A, Metzger JP, Ribas CC. 2013. Combining phylogeography and landscape genetics of *Xenopipo atronitens* (Aves: Pipridae), a white sand campina specialist, to understand Pleistocene landscape evolution in Amazonia. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* 110: 60–76.
- Carvalho TR, Giaretta AA. 2013a. Bioacoustics reveals two new syntopic species of *Adenomera* Steindachner (Anura: Leptodactylidae: Leptodactylinae) in the Cerrado of central Brazil. *Zootaxa* 3731(3): 533–551.
- Carvalho TR, Giaretta AA. 2013b. Taxonomic circumscription of *Adenomera martinezi* (Bokermann, 1956) (Anura: Leptodactylidae: Leptodactylinae) with the recognition of a new cryptic taxon though a bioacoustic approach. *Zootaxa* 3701(2): 207–237.
- Carvalho TR, Angulo A, Kokubum MNC, Barrera DA, de Souza MB, Haddad CFB, Giaretta AA. 2019a. A new cryptic species of the *Adenomera andreae* Clade from southwestern Amazonia (Anura, Leptodactylidae). *Herpetologica* 75(3): 233–246.
- Carvalho TR, Giaretta AA, Maciel NM, Barrera DA, Aguilar-Puntriano C, Haddad CFB, Kokubum MNC, Menin M, Angulo A. 2019b. On the uncertain taxonomic identity of *Adenomera hylaedactyla* (Cope, 1868) and the composite type series of *A. andreae* (Müller, 1923) (Anura, Leptodactylidae). *Copeia* 107: 708–723. https://doi.org/10.1643/CH-19-237.
- Carvalho TR, Giaretta AA, Angulo A, Haddad CFB, Peloso PLV. 2019c. A new Amazonian species of *Adenomera* (Anura: Leptodactylidae) from the Brazilian state of Pará: a tody-tyrant voice in a frog. *American Museum Novitates* 3919: 1– 21. http://digitallibrary.amnh.org/handle/2246/6923.
- Carvalho TR, Angulo, A, Barrera DA, Aguilar-Puntriano AC, Haddad CFB. 2020a. Hiding in plain sight: A fourth new cryptic species of the *Adenomera andreae* Clade (Anura: Leptodactylidae) from southwestern Amazonia. *Herpetologica* 76(3): 304–314.
- Carvalho TR, Moraes LJCL, Angulo A, Werneck FP, Icochea J, Lima AP. 2020b. New acoustic and molecular data shed light on the poorly known Amazonian frog

Adenomera simonstuarti (Leptodactylidae): implications for distribution and conservation. *European Journal of Taxonomy* 682: 1–18.

- Carvalho TR, Simões PI, Gagliardi-Urrutia LAG, Rojas-Runjaic FJM, Haddad CFB, Castroviejo-Fisher S. 2020c. A new forest-dwelling frog species of the genus *Adenomera* (Leptodactylidae) from northwestern Brazilian Amazonia. *Copeia* 108: 924–937. 10.1643/CH-19-329.
- Carvalho TR, Moraes LJCL, Lima AP, Fouquet A, Peloso PLV, Pavan D.; Drummond LO, Rodrigues MT, Giaretta AA, Gordo M, Neckel-Oliveira, Selvino, Haddad CFB. 2021. Systematics and historical biogeography of neotropical foam-nesting frogs of the *Adenomera heyeri* clade (Leptodactylidae), with the description of six new Amazonian species. *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society* 192(2): 395–433
- Cassini CS, Taucce PPG, Carvalho TR, Fouquet A, Solé M, Haddad CFB, Garcia PCA.
 2020. One step beyond a broad molecular phylogenetic analysis: Species delimitation of *Adenomera marmorata* Steindachner, 1867 (Anura: Leptodactylidae). *PLoS ONE* 15(2): e0229324.
- de Sá RO, Grant T, Camargo A, Heyer WR, Ponssa ML, Stanley E. 2014. Systematics of the Neotropical Genus *Leptodactylus* Fitzinger, 1826 (Anura: Leptodactylidae): Phylogeny, the Relevance of Non-molecular Evidence, and Species Accounts. *South American Journal of Herpetology* 9(1).
- Ferrão M, Colatreli O, Fraga R, Kaefer IL, Moravec J, Lima AP. 2016. High species richness of *Scinax* treefrogs (Hylidae) in a threatened Amazonian landscape revealed by an integrative approach. *PLoS ONE* 11(11): e0165679.
- Ferrão M, Moravec J, Ferreira AS, Moraes LJCL, Hanken J. 2022. A New Snouted Treefrog of the Genus *Scinax* (Anura, Hylidae) from the White-Sand Forests of Central Amazonia. *Breviora* 573: 1–36.
- Ferrão M, Moravec J, Moraes LJCL, Carvalho VT, Gordo M, Lima AP. 2019. Rediscovery of *Osteocephalus vilarsi* (Anura: Hylidae): an overlooked but widespread Amazonian spiny-backed treefrog. *PeerJ* 7: e8160.
- Ferreira CAC. Análise comparativa de vegetação lenhosa do ecossistema de campina na Amazônia brasileira. 2009. 277 f. Tese (Doutorado em Biologia Tropical e Recursos Naturais) - Convênio INPA e UFAM, Manaus. 2009.
- Fine PVA, Baraloto C. 2016. Habitat endemism in white-sand forests: insights into the mechanisms of lineage diversification and community assembly of the Neotropical flora. *Biotropica* 48: 24–33.
 - Fouquet, A.; Blotto, B.L.; Maronna, M.M.; Verdade, V.K.; Juncá, F.A.; Sá, R de.; Rodrigues, M.T. 2023. Unexpected phylogenetic positions of the *genera Rupirana* and *Crossodactylodes* reveal insights into the biogeography and reproductive evolution of leptodactylid frogs. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 67(2): 445–457.
- Fouquet A, Cassini CS, Haddad CFB, Pech N, Rodrigues MT 2014. Species delimitation, patterns of diversification and historical biogeography of the

Neotropical frog genus *Adenomera* (Anura, Leptodactylidae). *Journal of Biogeography* 41: 855–870.

- Fraga R, Souza E, Santos-Jr AP, Kawashita-Ribeiro RA. 2018. Notes on the rare Mastigodryas moratoi (Serpentes: Colubridae) in the Brazilian Amazon whitesand forests. Phyllomedusa: Journal of Herpetology 17: 299–302.
- Frost DR. Adenomera Steindachner, 1867. Amphibian Species of the World. (https://amphibiansoftheworld.amnh.org/Amphibia/Anura/Leptodactylidae/Leptod actylinae/Adenomera). Accessed on 27 Jun. 2022.
- Frost DR, Grant T; Faivovich J, Bain RH, Haas A, Haddad CFB, et al. 2006. The Amphibiam Tree of Life. *Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural History* 297: 1–291.
- Gonella PM, Barbosa-Silva RG, Fleischmann AS, Zappi DC, Baleeiro PC, Andrino CO. 2020. Hidden biodiversity of Amazonian white-sand ecosystems: two distinctive new species of *Utricularia* (Lentibulariaceae) from Pará, Brazil. *PhytoKeys* 169: 75-98. https://doi.org/10.3897/phytokeys.169.57626
- Guimarães FS, Bueno GT. 2016. As campinas e campinaranas amazônicas. *Caderno de Geografia* 26: 113–133.
- Hall TA. 1999. Bioedit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis program for Windows 95/98/ nt. *Nucleic Acids Symp Ser*. 41: 95-98.
- Heyer WR. 1973. Systematics of the marmoratus group of the frog genus *Leptodactylus* (Amphibia, Leptodactylidae). *Contributions in Science: Natural History Museum* 251: 1–50.
- Heyer WR. 1974. Relationships of the *marmoratus* species group (Amphibia, Leptodactylidae) within the subfamily Leptodactylinae. *Contributions to Science: Natural History Museum* 253: 1–45.
- Heyer WR. 1975. *Adenomera lutzi* (Amphibia: Leptodactylidae), a new species of frog from Guyana. *Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington* 88: 315–318.
- Heyer WR. 1979. Systematics of the *pentadactylus* species group of the frog genus *Leptodactylus* (Amphibia, Leptodactylidae). *Smithsonian Contributions to Zoology* 301: 1–43.
- Heyer WR, Rand AS, Cruz CAG, Peixoto OL, Nelson CE. 1990. Frogs of Boraceia. *Arquivos de Zoologia* 31: 231–410.
- Hoang DT, Chernomor O, Haeseler AV, Minh BQ, Vinh LS. 2018. UFBoot2: improving the ultrafast bootstrap approximation. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 35: 518–522.
- Jombart T, Devillard S, Balloux F. 2010. Discriminant analysis of principal components: a new method for the analysis of genetically structured populations. *BMC Genetics*, 11: 94.
- Jorge RF, Ferrão M, Lima AP. 2020. Out of bound: A new threatened Harlequin Toad (Bufonidae, *Atelopus*) from the outer borders of the Guiana Shield in central Amazonia described through integrative taxonomy. *Diversity* 12 (310): 1–25. doi:10.3390/d12080310.

Kimura M. 1980. A simple method for estimating evolutionary rate of base substitutions through comparative studies of nucleotide sequences. *Journal of Molecular Evolution* 16: 111–120.

Köhler G. Color Catalogue for Field Biologists. 2012. Herpeton. ISBN 9783936180404.

- Lamarre GPD, Amoretti, S, Baraloto C, Bénéluz F, Mesones I, Fine PV. 2016. Phylogenetic overdispersion in Lepidoptera communities of Amazonian whitesand forests. *Biotropica* 48: 101–109.
- Lima AP, Ferrão M, Silva DH. 2020. Not as widespread as thought: Integrative taxonomy reveals cryptic diversity in the Amazonian nurse frog *Allobates tinae* Melo-Sampaio, Oliveira and Prates, 2018 and description of a new species. Journal of Zoological Systematics and Evolutionary Research 58: 1173–1194 (https://doi.org/10.1111/jzs.12406).
- Lutz A. 1930. Segunda memoria sobre especies brasileiras do genero *Leptodactylus*, incluindo outras aliadas. *Mem. Inst. Oswaldo Cruz* 23: 1–59.
- Maddison WP, Maddison DR (2021) Mesquite: a modular system for evolutionary analysis. Version 3.70. http://www.mesquiteproject.org. Accessed on 10 Dec. 2022.
- Melo-Sampaio PR; Ferrão M; Moraes LJCL. 2020. A new species of *Osteocephalus* steindachner, 1862 (Anura, Hylidae), from brazilian amazonia. *Breviora* 572: 1-21.
- Mônico AT, Ferrão M, Chaparro JC, Fouquet A, Lima AP. 2022. A new species of rain frog (Anura: Strabomantidae: *Pristimantis*) from the Guiana Shield and amended diagnosis of *P. ockendeni* (Boulenger, 1912). *Vertebrate Zoology. Senckenberg* 72: 1035–1065 (https://doi.org/10.3897/vz.72.e9043).
- Moraes LJCL, Werneck FP, Réjaud A, Rodrigues MT, Prates I, Glaw F, Kok PJR, Ron SR, Chaparro JC, Osorno-Muñoz M, Vechio FD, Recoden RS, Marques-Souza S, Rojas RR, Demay L, Hrbek T, Fouquet A. 2022. Diversification of tiny toads (Bufonidae: *Amazophrynella*) sheds light on ancient landscape dynamism in Amazonia. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*, 2022, 136(1): 1–17.
- Nguyen LT, Schmidt AH, von Haeseler A, Minh BQ. 2015. IQ-TREE: a fast and effective stochastic algorithm for estimating Maximum- Likelihood phylogenies. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 32: 268–274.
- Palumbi SR. 1996. Nucleic acids II: the polymerase chain reaction. In: Hillis DM, Moritz C, Mable BK (Eds) Molecular Systematics, Sinauer & Associates Inc., Sunderland, Massachusetts 205–247.
- Parker HW. 1932. XXXVII.—The systematic status of some frogs in the Vienna Museum. *Annals and Magazine of Natural History* 10(58): 341–344.
- Parker HW. 1935. The Frogs, Lizards, and Snakes of British Guiana. *Journal of Zoology* 105(3)5: 05–530.
- Ponssa M, Heyer W. 2007. Osteological characterization of four putative species of the genus *Adenomera* (Anura: Leptodactylidae), with comments on intra and interspecific variation. *Zootaxa* 1403.

- Pyron RA.; Wiens JJ. 2011. A large-scale phylogeny of Amphibia including over 2800 species, and a revised classification of extant frogs, salamanders, and caecilians. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 61: 543–583.
- R Core Team. 2022. A language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. http://www. r-project.org. Acessed 25 Nov. 2022.
- Tamura K, Stecher G, Peterson D, Filipski A, Kumar S. 2013. MEGA6: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis version 6.0. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 30: 2725–2729.
- Trifinopoulos J, Nguyen LT, von Haeseler A, Minh BQ. 2016. W-IQ-TREE: a fast online phylogenetic tool for maximum likelihood analysis. *Nucleic Acids Research* 44:232–235.
- Vicentini A. 2016. The Evolutionary History of Pagamea (Rubiaceae), a White-sand Specialist Lineage in Tropical South America. *Biotropica* 48: 58–69.
- Watters JL, Cummings ST, Flanagan RL, Siler CD. 2016. Review of morphometric measurements used in anuran species descriptions and recommendations for a standardized approach. *Zootaxa* 4072: 477–495.

Species of *Adenomera* and *Lithodytes* used in phylogenetic analyses, with respective voucher, Genbank acession number and references.

Terrer	Molecular voucher	Clada	Clade GenBank accession numbers				
1 axon		Clade	СҮТВ	COI	RAG1	POMC	References
Adenomera sp. nov.	INPA-H44867	andreae		OQ974333			This study
Adenomera sp. nov.	INPA-H 44868	andreae		OQ974334			This study
Adenomera sp. nov.	INPA-H 44871	andreae		OQ974335			This study
Adenomera sp. nov.	INPA-H44873	andreae		OQ974336			This study
Adenomera andreae 1	MTR18580	andreae	KF674837	KF674525	KF674205	KF673893	Fouquet et al., 2014
Adenomera andreae 2	LSU17479	andreae	KF674839	KF674527	KF674207	KF673895	Fouquet et al., 2014
Adenomera andreae 3	DT1416	andreae	KF674841	KF674529	KF674209	KF673897	Fouquet et al., 2014
Adenomera chicomendesi 1	AA9972	andreae	JQ321831	KF674586	KF674267	KF673954	Carvalho et al., 2019a
Adenomera chicomendesi 2	MNCN4004	andreae	KF674903	KF674594	KF674275	KF673962	Carvalho et al., 2019a
Adenomera simonstuarti 1	LSU13787	andreae	KF674885	KF674575	KF674256	KF673943	Carvalho et al., 2019a
Adenomera simonstuarti 1	AJC2777	andreae	KF674884	KF674574	KF674255	KF673942	Carvalho et al., 2019a
Adenomera simonstuarti 1	MHNC10092	andreae	KF674883	KF674573	KF674254	KF673941	Carvalho et al., 2019a
Adenomera simonstuarti 2	QU5337	andreae	KF674887	KF674577	KF674258	KF673945	Carvalho et al., 2019a
Adenomera simonstuarti 2	INPAH39792	andreae		MT472181			Carvalho et al., 2019a
Adenomera simonstuarti 2	INPAH39814	andreae		MT472182			Carvalho et al., 2019a
Adenomera simonstuarti 3	MNCN23203	andreae	KF674889	KF674579	KF674260	KF673947	Carvalho et al., 2019a
Adenomera simonstuarti 3	ZSM748	andreae	KF674888	KF674578	KF674259	KF673946	Carvalho et al., 2019a
Adenomera simonstuarti 3	INPAH40967	andreae		MT472180			Carvalho et al., 2019a
Adenomera simonstuarti 4	LSU12840	andreae	KF674891	KF674581	KF674262	KF673949	Carvalho et al., 2019a
Adenomera simonstuarti 4	MHNC6302	andreae	KF674892	KF674582	KF674263	KF673950	Carvalho et al., 2019a
Adenomera simonstuarti 5	CB5696	andreae	KF674890	KF674580	KF674261	KF673948	Carvalho et al., 2019a
Adenomera simonstuarti 6	MHNC10058	andreae	KF674893	KF674583	KF674264	KF673951	Carvalho et al., 2019a
Adenomera simonstuarti 7	MNCN27344	andreae	KF674894	KF674584	KF674265	KF673952	Carvalho et al., 2019a
Adenomera simonstuarti 8	APL13097	andreae	KF674895	KF674585	KF674266	KF673953	Carvalho et al., 2019a
Adenomera guarayo	MNCN34687	andreae	KF674878	KF674568	KF674249	KF673936	Fouquet et al., 2014
Adenomera guarayo	AM29	andreae	KF674880	KF674570	KF674251	KF673938	Fouquet et al., 2014
Adenomera guarayo	USNM268935	andreae	KF674879	KF674569	KF674250	KF673937	Fouquet et al., 2014
<i>Adenomera</i> sp. T	MHNC8385	andreae	KF674877	KF674567	KF674248	KF673935	Fouquet et al., 2014
Adenomera sp. D	ZSM751	andreae	KF674881	KF674571	KF674252	KF673939	Fouquet et al., 2014

Adenomera heveri 1	AF269	heyeri	KF675009	KF674700	KF674383	KF674068	Fouquet et al., 2014
Adenomera	AF127	heyeri	KC603972	KC604000	KF674387	KC604068	Carvalho et
Adenomera	INPAH40517	heyeri		MN866438			Carvalho et
Adenomera	MTR11092	heyeri	KF675023	KF674714	KF674398	KF674082	Carvalho et
Adenomera	INPAH40506	heveri		MT162498			Carvalho et
Adenomera	INPAH40518	heveri		MN866439			Carvalho et
Adenomera	AAGUFU2463	heveri		MT162505			al., 2021 Carvalho et
cotuba Adenomera	AAGUFU1400	heveri		MT162504			al., 2021 Carvalho et
cotuba Adenomera	PMJ154	heveri	KF675028	KF674719	KF674403	KF674087	al., 2021 Carvalho et
gridipappi Adenomera	INPAH40512	heveri		MT162510			al., 2021 Carvalho et
gridipappi Adenomera	CFBH43885	heveri		MT162528			al., 2021 Carvalho et
kayapo Adenomera	DT1798	havari	KF675018	KF674709	KF674393	KF674077	al., 2021 Carvalho et
kayapo Adenomera	INPAH40515	havari		MN866436			al., 2021 Carvalho et
tapajonica Adenomera	INDA H40516	hoyoni		MN866427			al., 2021 Carvalho et
tapajonica Adenomera	DT2122	heyeri	 VE(75021	WE(74712	 VE(7420)		al., 2021 Carvalho et
phonotriccus Adenomera	D12125	neyeri	KF0/5021	KF0/4/12	KF0/4390	KF0/4080	al., 2021 Carvalho et
phonotriccus Adenomera	DT2016	heyeri	KF675020	KF674711	KF674395	KF674079	al., 2021 Fouquet et
hylaedactyla 1	APL15864	hylaedactyla	KF674917	KF674608	KF674289	KF673976	al., 2014
hylaedactyla 2	CFBH8289	hylaedactyla	KF674929	KF674620	KF674301	KF673988	al., 2014
Adenomera diptyx 1	IIBPH905	hylaedactyla	KC603966	KC603994	KF674278	KC604062	al., 2014
Adenomera diptyx 2	RGA5254	hylaedactyla	KF674910	KF674601	KF674282	KF673969	Fouquet et al., 2014
Adenomera coca	AMG1	hylaedactyla	KF674969	KF674660	KF674342	KF674028	Carvalho et al., 2020
Adenomera lutzi	ROM40167	lutzi	KC603974	KC604002	KF674366	KC604070	Fouquet et al., 2014
Adenomera sp. P	AJC2390	lutzi	KF675036	KF674727	KF674411	KF674095	Fouquet et al., 2014
Adenomera glauciae	MCP13890	lutzi		MT956671			Carvalho et al., 2020b
Adenomera glauciae		lutzi		MT956673			Carvalho et al., 2020b
Adenomera aiurauna 1	CTMZ02393	marmorata	KF675079	KF674770	KF674457	KF674138	Fouquet et al., 2014
Adenomera ajurauna 2	H182	marmorata	KF675080	KF674771	KF674458	KF674139	Fouquet et al., 2014
Adenomera araucaria	MCP10769	marmorata	KC603969	KC603997	KF674440	KC604065	Fouquet et al., 2014
Adenomera bokermanni 1	K1730	marmorata	KF675115	KF674806	KF674494	KF674174	Fouquet et al., 2014
Adenomera bokermanni ?	MTR18508	marmorata	KF675116	KF674807	KF674495	KF674175	Fouquet et
Adenomera engelsi 1	MTR18497	marmorata	KC603970	KC603998	KF674450	KC604066	Fouquet et
Adenomera engelsi 2	MNRJ72224	marmorata	KF675073	KF674764	KF674451	KF674132	Fouquet et
Adenomera kweti 1	MTR18496	marmorata	KF675065	KF674756	KF674442	KF674124	Carvalho et al., 2020

Adenomera kweti 2	TRPD9	marmorata	KF675066	KF674757	KF674443	KF674125	Carvalho et al., 2020
Adenomera marmorata 1	AF467	marmorata	KF675092	KF674783	KF674470	KF674151	Fouquet et al., 2014
Adenomera marmorata 3	MTR15511	marmorata	KF675096	KF674787	KF674474	KF674155	Fouquet et al., 2014
Adenomera nana 1	MTR18505	marmorata	KF675076	KF674767	KF674454	KF674135	Fouquet et al., 2014
Adenomera nana 2	CFBH3251	marmorata	KF675077	KF674768	KF674455	KF674136	Fouquet et al., 2014
Adenomera sp. A	MNRJ47474	marmorata	KF675112	KF674803	KF674491	KF674171	Fouquet et al., 2014
<i>Adenomera</i> sp. N	CFBH10241	marmorata	KF675097	KF674788	KF674475	KF674156	Fouquet et al., 2014
<i>Adenomera</i> sp. O	CFBH7321	marmorata	KF675098	KF674789	KF674476	KF674157	Fouquet et al., 2014
<i>Adenomera</i> sp. S	ITH0585	marmorata	KF675067	KF674758	KF674444	KF674126	Fouquet et al., 2014
Adenomera martinezi	CHUNB40218	martinezi	KF675006	KF674697	KF674380	KF674065	Fouquet et al., 2014
Adenomera saci 1	MTR14648	martinezi	KF675001	KF674692	KF674375	KF674060	Fouquet et al., 2014
Adenomera saci 2	CHUNB49509	martinezi	KF675004	KF674695	KF674378	KF674063	Fouquet et al., 2014
Adenomera sp. B	ESTR307	martinezi	KF675007	KF674698	KF674381	KF674066	Fouquet et al., 2014
Adenomera thomei 1	MNRJ60463	thomei	KF675104	KF674795	KF674483	KF674163	Fouquet et al., 2014
Adenomera thomei 2	CFBH10573	thomei	KC603971	KC603999	KF674481	KC604067	Fouquet et al., 2014
<i>Adenomera</i> sp. L 1	MTR20994	thomei	KF675113	KF674804	KF674492	KF674172	Fouquet et al., 2014
<i>Adenomera</i> sp. L 2	MTR21951	thomei	KF675114	KF674805	KF674493	KF674173	Fouquet et al., 2014
<i>Adenomera</i> sp. M	MD2568	thomei	KF675099	KF674790	KF674477	KF674158	Fouquet et al., 2014
Adenomera juikitan	MRT2811	thomei	KF675053	KF674744	KF674429	KF674112	Carvalho et al., 2021
Adenomera juikitan	MRT11878	thomei	kf675050	KF674741	KF674426	KF674109	Carvalho et al., 2021
Lithodytes lineatus	MC55	outgroup	JQ321833	KC604003	KC604025	KC604060	Fouquet et al., 2013

Call parameters of *Adenomera* sp. nov. and *A. simonstuarti* used to perform Principal Component Analysis. CD = Call duration (ms); ND = Note duration (ms); PpN = Pulsesper note; PD = Pulse duration (ms); FF = Fundamental frequency; DF = Dominantfrequency; NrT = Note rise time; FM = Frequency modulation.

Voucher	Species	CD	NpC	ND	PpN	PD	FF	DF	NrT	FM
INPA-H 44867	A. sp. nov	0.152	0.152	0.152	16.313	0.01	1936	3946	2.6	23.07
MPEG 44649	A. sp. nov	0.136	0.136	0.136	14.559	0.009	2178	3925	31.6	-0.07
INPA-H 44868	A. sp. nov	0.132	0.132	0.132	13.842	0.01	1909	3796	42.07	562.73
INPA-H 44869	A. sp. nov	0.130	0.130	0.130	13.692	0.009	1843	3897	46.87	321.6
INPA-H 44876	A. sp. nov	0.126	0.126	0.126	13.52	0.01	2052	3857	17.13	425.07
MPEG 44651	A. sp. nov	0.169	0.169	0.169	16.507	0.011	1958	3912	30.33	310.4
INPA-H 44903	A. simonsatuarti	4.53	0.06	0.06	3.33	0.02	3698	1957	33.56	287.67
INPA-H 44904	A. simonsatuarti	3.68	0.06	0.06	2.68	0.02	4036	2024	53.56	306.78
INPA-H 44905	A. simonsatuarti	5.60	0.058	0.058	3.13	0.016	4019	2008	45.2	218.87
INPA-H 44911	A. simonsatuarti	5.1	0.077	0.077	4.28	0.02	4101	2002	52.39	325.89
Unvouchered	A. simonsatuarti	4.94	0.066	0.066	3.22	0.02	3895	3895	47.6	241.67
Unvouchered	A. simonsatuarti	3.27	0.065	0.065	3	0.021	4029	2014	52.33	230.33

		-							-								
Voucher	Sex	SVL	HL	HW	SL	END	IND	ED	IOD	TD	FAL	UAL	HAL	TL	FL	THL	TAL
INPA-H44881	М	21.4	7.4	8.0	3.6	2.0	2.4	2.3	5.4	1.5	4.8	3.1	4.3	10.3	9.7	9.5	5.8
INPA-H 44871	М	22.0	7.6	8.0	3.9	2.3	2.3	2.5	5.4	1.5	4.6	4.0	4.4	10.0	9.5	8.9	6.0
INPA-H 44879	М	23.0	8.0	8.6	3.4	2.0	2.5	2.5	5.7	1.5	4.8	3.6	4.7	10.8	10.2	9.1	5.2
INPA-H 44873	М	22.1	7.8	7.9	3.5	2.0	2.3	2.5	5.5	1.4	4.0	3.9	4.7	9.2	9.6	8.9	5.9
MPEG 44651	М	22.4	7.9	8.0	3.5	2.0	2.3	2.6	5.1	1.3	4.6	4.0	4.9	10.3	10.4	9.0	5.7
INPA-H 44882	М	22.5	8.4	8.7	3.3	2.0	2.5	2.7	5.5	1.4	4.7	3.5	4.7	9.4	9.9	9.6	5.5
INPA-H 44876	М	21.3	8.0	8.2	3.7	2.0	2.2	2.5	5.5	1.3	4.9	3.9	4.3	10.0	9.8	9.0	5.8
INPA-H 44877	М	21.9	8.0	8.6	3.3	2.0	2.4	2.2	5.3	1.3	4.5	3.8	4.5	9.6	10.3	9.5	5.4
MPEG 44652	М	21.6	7.8	8.0	3.7	2.3	2.2	2.4	5.5	1.3	5.0	4.0	4.4	9.9	9.6	9.2	5.7
INPA-H 44868	М	21.7	7.9	8.1	3.5	2.0	2.3	2.5	5.3	1.5	4.7	4.3	4.8	9.6	10.0	10.0	5.4
INPA-H 44869	М	22.4	8.3	8.1	3.6	2.0	2.4	2.4	5.6	1.3	4.1	3.9	4.6	9.3	9.8	9.4	5.7
INPA-H 44870	М	21.9	7.5	7.6	3.5	2.0	2.3	2.6	5.2	1.3	4.1	4.1	4.7	9.7	10.4	9.3	6.0
MPEG 44649	М	21.6	8.0	7.8	3.5	2.1	2.3	2.5	5.4	1.4	4.5	4.7	4.4	9.8	10.5	9.7	5.8
INPA-H 44880	М	21.2	8.2	8.2	3.7	2.1	2.3	2.3	5.3	1.3	4.4	4.2	4.8	9.3	9.7	8.9	5.8
ZUEC 25694	М	21.3	8.1	8.0	3.7	2.0	2.2	2.4	5.4	1.3	4.7	4.7	4.7	9.9	10.7	8.9	6.0
INPA-H44872	М	21.5	7.8	7.8	3.6	2.1	2.3	2.4	5.5	1.5	4.4	4.9	4.6	8.9	9.7	9.1	6.2
INPA-H 44867	М	22.9	8.5	8.3	3.8	2.0	2.4	2.4	5.5	1.4	4.7	4.4	5.0	9.5	10.5	9.4	5.5
INPA-H 44878	М	22.1	7.8	8.2	3.4	2.0	2.2	2.2	5.4	1.4	4.5	4.4	4.0	9.8	10.1	8.9	5.2
ZUEC 25697	М	21.7	7.5	7.7	3.5	2.2	2.1	2.4	5.3	1.3	4.5	4.6	4.5	9.1	10.4	9.4	5.0
ZUEC 25696	М	22.0	8.2	8.5	3.3	2.0	2.0	2.6	5.8	1.3	4.1	4.2	4.6	9.8	10.1	8.9	5.3
INPA-H 44885	М	21.9	8.1	8.5	3.3	2.0	2.3	2.2	5.6	1.4	4.2	4.8	4.2	9.5	10.5	9.7	5.5
INPA-H 44874	F	24.1	8.2	9	3.4	2.1	2.3	2.4	5.6	1.5	4.8	4.1	4.5	11	10.9	10	6.1
INPA-H 44875	F	24.2	8.5	8.8	3.8	2.4	2.5	2.8	5.8	1.5	6	4.4	4.9	11	10.5	9.8	6.5
MPEG 44650	F	24.3	8.2	8.7	3.4	2.5	2.4	2.7	5.7	1.4	5.1	5.4	4.8	11	11.2	10.2	6
ZUEC 25695	F	23.7	8	8	3.7	2.4	2.4	2.7	5.9	1.3	4.7	4.2	4.6	10.5	10.5	10.2	5.9
INPA-H 44883	F	22.1	7.4	7.6	2.9	2	2.1	2.3	4.9	1.2	4.9	4.7	4.8	11.1	10.6	8.4	6.1

Appendix 3. Morphometric traits of Adenomera sp. nov.

Morphometric traits of Adenomera simonstuarti.

Voucher	Sex	SVL	HL	HW	SL	END	IND	ED	IOD	TD	FAL	UAL	HAL	TL	FL	THL	TAL
ZUEC 25700	М	24.3	9.1	9.4	3.9	2	2.6	2.4	5.8	1.43	4.8	4.5	5.1	10.6	11.3	10.5	6.0
MPEG 44653	М	24.7	8.7	9.1	3.5	2.1	2.6	2.4	6.3	1.5	4.75	5.1	5.25	11.1	12.0	11.2	6.0
ZUEC 25698	М	25.0	8.7	9.0	3.9	2.2	2.6	2.3	5.7	1.53	5	5.5	5.3	11.6	12.0	11.1	6.8
INPA-H 44903	М	25.3	9.1	9.3	3.8	2.1	2.6	2.6	5.9	1.33	5.3	5.1	5.35	11.8	11.9	10.5	6.8
INPA-H 44905	М	25.2	9.4	9.1	4	2.1	2.5	2.5	5.6	1.55	4.9	5.05	5.4	11.6	12.0	11.3	6.3
INPA-H 44912	М	24.5	9.0	9.1	3.9	2.1	2.7	2.3	5.8	1.45	4.8	4.95	4.8	11.3	11.8	10.0	6.4
INPA-H 44910	М	26.4	9.4	9.7	4	2.1	2.6	2.1	5.8	1.75	5.8	5.05	5.6	12.1	12.2	11.3	6.8
INPA-H 44907	М	25.0	8.9	9.2	3.6	2	2.5	2.3	5.6	1.7	4.9	5.15	5.1	10.8	11.5	11.8	6.2
INPA-H 44908	М	24.5	8.8	9.0	3.8	2	2.6	2.3	5.7	1.5	4.9	4.55	4.9	10.5	10.5	9.8	5.8
MPEG 44655	М	23.9	8.2	8.7	3.6	2	2.5	2.1	5.8	1.45	4.7	4.95	4.8	10.6	10.9	10.6	6.0
INPA-H 44904	М	24.0	8.6	8.8	3.5	2	2.5	2.1	5.9	1.5	4.7	5	5.05	10.0	11.5	9.8	6.0
MPEG 44654	М	24.3	8.5	9.1	3.9	2	2.5	2.2	5.6	1.53	4.75	5.3	5.05	10.6	10.9	9.9	5.5
ZUEC 25699	М	25.3	8.9	9.1	3.9	2.1	2.5	2.1	6	1.63	4.9	4.75	5.25	11.5	11.6	11.6	6.7

INPA-H 44911	М	26.0	9.0	9.5	4	2.1	2.5	2.6	6.1	1.53	5.15	5.25	5.35	11.5	11.5	11.6	5.7
INPA-H 44909	F	23.0	8.1	8.7	3.1	1.8	2.4	2.4	5.4	1.45	4.8	5.1	4.8	11.1	11.2	10.6	5.8
INPA-H 44906	F	25.8	8.8	9.6	3.8	2.3	2.5	2.2	5.7	1.58	5.35	5.55	5.3	11.6	12.0	11.5	6.5

Dorsal and ventral views of males (A–D) and females (E–F) of *Adenomera simonstuarti* from the municipality of Tarauacá, state of Acre, Brazil. Photographs: L. R. Mendonça.

