

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/272493292>

Antifungal activity of Brazilian amazon plantas extracts against some species of Candida SPP

Article · January 2014

CITATION

1

READS

104

12 authors, including:



[Daniela Ramos](#)

Universidade Federal de Pelotas

20 PUBLICATIONS 140 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



[Lorena M. C. Cursino](#)

Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas da Amazônia

7 PUBLICATIONS 16 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



[Cecilia Veronica Nunez](#)

Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas da Amazônia

56 PUBLICATIONS 305 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



[Pedro Silva](#)

Universidade Federal do Rio Grande (FURG)

98 PUBLICATIONS 960 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



ANTIFUNGAL ACTIVITY OF BRAZILIAN AMAZON PLANTS EXTRACTS AGAINST SOME SPECIES OF *CANDIDA* SPP.

Katiane Rodrigues¹, Daniela F. Ramos^{*1}, Lillian L. Carrion¹, Lorena M. C. Cursino², Manoel F. Jefreys², Laila S. Pedroza², Maria I. C. Osório², Jaciara L. Oliveira², Jaqueline I. A. Andrade², Carromberth C. Fernandes², Cecília V. Nunez², Pedro E. A. Silva²

¹ Núcleo de Pesquisa em Microbiologia Médica (NUPEMM), Faculdade de Medicina. Universidade Federal do Rio Grande, Rio Grande, Brazil.

² Laboratório de Bioprospecção e Biotecnologia, Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas da Amazônia, Amazonas, Brazil.

ABSTRACT

The increase in both opportunistic mycoses and antimicrobial resistance of pathogenic microorganisms has determined the need to develop new chemotherapies agents. Amazon Forest Plants has been used as natural drug by local people in the treatment of various tropical diseases. The objective of the study is to evaluate the antifungal activity of twenty-eight species of plants extracts belonging to twenty botanical families from the Amazon forest. The minimum inhibitory concentration of the one hundred fourteen crude extracts of dichloromethane, methanol and water were evaluated against three *Candida* species: *Candida albicans*, *Candida glabrata* and *Candida parapsilosis*. Seventy-four extracts showed activity, with minimum inhibitory concentration between 0.06 and 1mg/mL, against the three species evaluated. The results observed in this study, mainly about the families Arecaceae, Apocynaceae, Salicaceae and Urticaceae, showed that these extracts are promising for the development of new drugs that can be used in the treatment against opportunistic fungal infections.

Key words: plants, extracts, Amazon forest, mycoses, antifungal activity, *Candida* spp.

INTRODUCTION

Medicinal plants have been used in developing countries as alternative medical treatments and extracts and essential oils isolated from plants have been shown biological activity *in vitro* and *in vivo* (Hofling *et al.*, 2010; Portillo *et al.*, 2001; Webster *et al.*, 2008). Some countries, like Brazil, have been highlighted due to its great diversity of flora and utilization of medicinal plants in the treatment of bacterial and fungal infections (Ahmad and Beg 2001; Rios and Recio 2005; Svetaz *et al.* 2010).

Brazil is the country with the greatest plant genetic diversity of the world, with more than 55,000 species cataloged of a total estimated of 350,000 to

550,000. However only 8% of plant species of the Brazilian flora were studied regard its bioactive while only 1,100 species have been assessed in their medicinal properties (Simões *et al.*, 2003). The vast Amazonian biodiversity together with the traditional knowledge of the forest people could represent a potential source for the discovery of new therapeutic agents (Brandao *et al.*, 2008; Duarte *et al.*, 2005; Svetaz *et al.*, 2010).

Duarte *et al.* (2007) have reported that in the last 10 years there has been an increase in the research of natural products active against *Candida* spp, including approximately 258 plant species, from 94 families. Brazilian medicinal plants have been used as natural medicines by local population due their therapeutic effect in various diseases, including fungal and bacterial infections (Alves *et al.*, 2000; Newman and Cragg, 2012).

Corresponding Author

Daniela F. Ramos

Email: daniferamos@gmail.com

Yeasts are considered opportunistic pathogens that can determine infectious processes from clinical asymptomatic to severe and fatal disease (Erkose and Erturan, 2007; Hofling *et al.*, 2010; Repentigny *et al.*, 2004). In recent decades, due to acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS) and increase of immunocompromised patients related to new therapies for cancer as well as advances in surgical techniques of organ transplantation, the mycoses has acquired an important role in public health world (Duraipandiyam and Ignacimuthu 2011; Fostel and Lartey 2000; Svetaz *et al.*, 2010). There are about 200 described species of *Candida* and approximately 10% of these cause infectious disease. Fungal diseases by *Candida* spp. are 78.3% of cases nosocomial infection. Although the yeast *C. albicans* remains more frequently involved in pathological processes, the emergence of other species as *C. tropicalis*, *C. parapsilosis*, *C. krusei*, *C. lusitaniae*, *C. glabrata* has been observed (Erkose *et al.*, 2007; Hofling *et al.*, 2010; Sardi *et al.*, 2010).

The treatment of fungal infections is a challenge of medical practice, and have few drug, the treatment is long, has high toxicity and is not always efficient (Duraipandiyam *et al.*, 2011; Portillo *et al.*, 2001; Spampinato and Leonardi, 2013). This study evaluated the antifungal activity of Brazilian Amazon plant extracts against three species of *Candida* considered opportunistic pathogens.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Plants

The plants were collected in several parts of the Amazon region and identified at the Herbarium of the Botanical Research Coordination of the National Research Institute of Amazonia (INPA) (Table 1).

Extraction methods

Plant material was dried, powdered and then extracted with dichloromethane using ultrasound bath for 20 min and then filtered. The extraction procedure was repeated thrice. The plant material was then dried and extracted with methanol and finally with water, by using the same ultrasound procedure.

In order to use less environment aggressive solvents, we change dichloromethane by hexane, and performed the extractions in the same way. Organic extracts were concentrated on rotaevaporator and aqueous extracts by lyophilisation.

Strains preparation

Candida albicans (ATCC 11006), *Candida glabrata* (ATCC 2001) and *Candida parapsilosis* (ATCC 22019) were cultivated on 4% Sabouraud Dextrose Agar (SDA) for 24h at 25°C. The suspension inoculum was carried out in sterile saline 0.85% from a colony alone.

This suspension, after shaking in vortex by 15s, was adjusted to 0.5 of McFarland scale, resulting in a concentration of 1×10^6 cells/mL, diluted, 1:50 in Sabouraud broth for bioassays.

Evaluation of the antifungal activity of the extracts

The search for antifungal potential of 114 extracts was performed using the technique of microdilution in broth, as the NCCLS-CLSI (2003). For susceptibility testing, 75 μ L of Sabouraud broth was distributed in whole plate, except in the wells periphery, which was added sterile water. Also were added 75 μ L of the plant extract in serial dilution starting from 2 mg/mL. The plates were incubated at 25 °C for 48 h. The results were determined by optical density through the Elisa reader 630 nm. The Minimal Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) was determined for those extracts presenting antifungal activity in the screening assay. Amphotericin B was used as positive control.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

For many years, medicinal plants have been responsible in traditional therapeutics worldwide, including Brazil, moreover, many of the currently available drugs are derived from plants, which has stimulated the search for new antimicrobial drugs with antifungal activity in plant extracts (Ahmad *et al.*, 2001; Cowan, 1999; Duarte *et al.*, 2007).

In the present study, it was determinate the antifungal activity of dichloromethane, methanol and aqueous extracts from different parts of 28 species of plants belonging to families Arecaceae, Apocynaceae, Capparaceae, Fabaceae, Hypericaceae, Lamiaceae, Lecythidaceae, Melastomataceae, Moraceae, Myricaceae, Olacaceae, Picrodendraceae, Rubiaceae, Rutaceae, Salicaceae, Sapotaceae, Smilacaceae, Urticaceae, Verbenaceae, Zingiberaceae. These crude extracts were evaluated and the MIC results are shown in Table 1.

Among 114 extracts evaluated, 74 were active against *Candida albicans*, 82 against *C. glabrata* and 85 against *C. parapsilosis*, with MIC between 0.06 and 1 mg/mL. The three species of *Candida* were susceptible to 73 plant extracts belonging to almost all families tested, except Hypericaceae. In general, there is no agreement on the level of acceptance for plant activity when compared with standards; therefore, some authors consider only activity comparable to antibiotics, while others consider even higher values (Aligiannis *et al.* 2001; Duarte *et al.* 2005).

Therefore, we have established 2.0 mg/mL as the highest concentration, so that only the extracts presenting a MIC below 2.0 mg/mL were considered as having potential antimicrobial activity and in our work proposed a classification for plant materials, based on MIC results

as follows: strong inhibitors – MIC until 1 mg/mL; weak inhibitors – MIC between 1.1 and 2.0 mg/mL (Table 2).

The extracts of *Ferdinandusa goudotiana*, *F. hirsuta* and *Palicourea guianensis* (Rubiaceae) were active against three strains of *Candida* with MIC between 0.12 and 1.0 mg/mL. The activity of these extracts may be associated with the possible presence of indol alkaloids, triterpenoids, since these substances are present in these species, which are commonly found in Rubiaceae family species, whose antimicrobial activity has been demonstrated previously (Figueiredo *et al.*, 2009; Nunez *et al.*, 2009; Nunez *et al.*, 2012).

The extract from *Miconia argyrophylla* (Melastomataceae), *Ficus cf. trigonata* (Moraceae), *Minquartia guianensis* (Olacaceae), *Zanthoxylum* sp. (Rutaceae), *Salix martiana* (Salicaceae) and *Manilkara huberi* (Sapotaceae) shown strong activity against the three species of *Candida*, with MIC 0.12 and 1 mg/mL. The families Olacaceae, Sapotaceae, Liliaceae, Rutaceae, Euphorbiaceae, Moraceae, Asclepidaceae, have been studied regard their bioactive potential (Ahmad *et al.*, 2001; Cursino *et al.*, 2011; Fernandes *et al.*, 2009; Ramos *et al.*, 2008). It is possible that antimicrobial activity is related with flavonoids, saponins and alkaloids present in species of this family (Bukola *et al.*, 2008).

Regarding *Miconia argyrophylla*, the aqueous and dichloromethane extract of leaves were inactive, while the branches presented high activity. These can be due to an uneven distribution of the plant constituents. More studies should be conducted in order to determine why this species produces antifungic compounds in the branches which are not transported to the leaves. Several substances as tannins, gallic acid, some catechins, flavonoids, and terpenoids can show antimicrobial activity, compounds already reported in members of this species (Yazdani *et al.*, 2011).

Only the dichloromethane extract of barks of *Zanthoxylum* sp. shown to be active against all species of *Candida* sp., where the leaves were bioactive against the *C. albicans* and *C. parapsilosis*. The family Rutaceae has aroused interest because it presents a significant of different secondary metabolites, generating many biological and pharmaceutical, such as alkaloids and flavonoids that showed antibacterial activity in gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria, such as *Cryptococcus neoformans* and *C. albicans* (Harborne and Williams, 2000; Nissanka *et al.*, 2001).

In the fractionation of extracts of plants using apolar solvents such as diclomethane and hexane allows the extraction of steroid groups (stigmasterol, β -sistosterol), coumarin, oleic acid esters, lactones, terpenoids, flavonoids, benzofuran, xantona, quinone and phenolic compounds. Some studies have shown that the alcohol was found to be a better solvent for extraction of

antimicrobially active substances compared to water and hexane (Ahmad *et al.*, 2001; Cowan, 1999).

Recent studies isolated from *Salix martiana* acetylsalicylic acid an agent with antibacterial activity (Fernandes *et al.*, 2009) and *S. martiana* and other species of this genus showed antioxidant properties and has an inhibitory action on tumor cells, since this substance induces apoptosis of these cells (El-Shemy *et al.*, 2007).

The Arecaceae, Apocynaceae, Capparaceae, Picrodendraceae and Lecythidaceae families, represented in this study by *Orbignya phalerata*, *Asclepias curassavica*, *Crateva benthami*, *Piranhea trifoliata* and *Eschweilera pedicellata*, respectively, showed activity against *C. albicans* and *C. parapsilosis* with MIC between 0.06 – 1 mg/mL and *C. glabrata* with MIC between 0.12 – 1 mg/mL. The sensitivity of *C. parapsilosis* in this study was similar to *C. glabrata*, as regards the plant species and solvents used, about 80 plant extracts were active and 70 showed strong activity.

Both *C. albicans* and *C. parapsilosis* presents weak inhibition to all extracts of *Zingiber zerumbet* (Zingiberaceae), as well as the methanol extract of seeds of *Campsiandra comosa* (Fabaceae) showed weak activity against *C. glabrata* and *C. parapsilosis*, but the aqueous extract of this species extract was shown to be inactive. In others studies, some species of the Fabaceae showed the antimicrobial activity (Lima *et al.*, 2011; Ramos *et al.*, 2008; Svetaz *et al.*, 2010).

The aqueous extract of pod *Campsiandra laurifolia* (Fabaceae) against *C. albicans* and *C. glabrata*, already had an antimicrobial activity described in other studies (Alves *et al.*, 2000; Chokchaisiri *et al.*, 2009; Ramos *et al.*, 2008), the dichloromethane extract of the root of *Smilax brasilienses* (Smilacaceae) against *C. albicans* and *C. parapsilosis* and three extracts of Urticaceae family (*Pourouma* sp. and *P. guianensis*) against *C. glabrata* and *C. parapsilosis*, was strong inhibition to with MIC of ≤ 0.6 mg/mL.

So to assess the activity of the family Verbenaceae can be observed that just the dicloromethane (Jantan *et al.* 2003) extract of the leaves of *Lippia macrophylla* were not showed activity against the species of *Candida* studies (Aguiar *et al.*, 2008). From Lamiaceae family, only the dichloromethane extract of flowers of *Vitex cymosa* was active against the three species of *Candida*. This fact is probably related to various bioactive compounds in often attributed to volatile components from their essential oil, such as monoterpenes, terpenoids, sesquiterpenes and diterpenes has already isolated from species of the family Lamiaceae, which may be acting synergistically against microorganisms evaluated (Abedini *et al.*, 2013; Duarte *et al.*, 2005; Gazim *et al.*, 2010; Gazim *et al.*, 2013).

Table 1. Evaluation of antifungal activity of the families examined against of the species of *Candida* sp. (mg/mL).

Species	Family	Plant Part	Extract	<i>Candida albicans</i>	<i>Candida glabrata</i>	<i>Candida parapsilosis</i>
<i>Orbignya phalerata</i> Mart.	Arecaceae	mesocarps	DCM	0.50	0.12	2.0
<i>Orbignya phalerata</i> Mart.	Arecaceae	mesocarps	MeOH	0.50	0.12	0.50
<i>Orbignya phalerata</i> Mart.	Arecaceae	mesocarps	H ₂ O	1.0	0.12	0.50
<i>Asclepias curassavica</i> L.	Apocynaceae	leaves	DCM	1.0	1.0	0.50
<i>Asclepias curassavica</i> L.	Apocynaceae	leaves	MeOH	0.50	0.50	0.25
<i>Asclepias curassavica</i> L.	Apocynaceae	leaves	H ₂ O	0.50	0.50	0.25
<i>Asclepias curassavica</i> L.	Apocynaceae	roots	DCM	2.0	1.0	1.0
<i>Asclepias curassavica</i> L.	Apocynaceae	roots	MeOH	Inative	0.12	2.0
<i>Asclepias curassavica</i> L.	Apocynaceae	roots	H ₂ O	Inative	0.12	2.0
<i>Crateva benthami</i> Eichler	Capparaceae	leaves	DCM	0.25	0.50	0.50
<i>Crateva benthami</i> Eichler	Capparaceae	leaves	MeOH	0.25	0.50	0.50
<i>Crateva benthami</i> Eichler	Capparaceae	leaves	H ₂ O	0.50	1.0	0.50
<i>Crateva benthami</i> Eichler	Capparaceae	barks	H ₂ O	0.50	0.50	0.50
<i>Campsiandra comosa</i> Benth.	Fabaceae	bark	MeOH	1.0	2.0	0.50
<i>Campsiandra comosa</i> Benth.	Fabaceae	branch	MeOH	0.12	0.50	0.12
<i>Campsiandra comosa</i> Benth.	Fabaceae	seed	MeOH	1.0	2.0	2.0
<i>Campsiandra comosa</i> Benth.	Fabaceae	seed	H ₂ O	Inative	Inative	Inative
<i>Campsiandra comosa</i> Benth.	Fabaceae	leaves	MeOH	0.50	0.50	1.0
<i>Campsiandra laurifolia</i> Benth.	Fabaceae	branch	DCM	0.25	0.50	1.0
<i>Campsiandra laurifolia</i> Benth.	Fabaceae	branch	MeOH	Inative	Inative	Inative
<i>Campsiandra laurifolia</i> Benth.	Fabaceae	branch	H ₂ O	0.25	0.50	0.50
<i>Campsiandra laurifolia</i> Benth.	Fabaceae	fruit	H ₂ O	0.12	2.0	0.12
<i>Campsiandra laurifolia</i> Benth.	Fabaceae	fruit	MeOH	Inative	0.06	2.0
<i>Campsiandra laurifolia</i> Benth.	Fabaceae	pod	MeOH	1.0	2.0	0.12
<i>Campsiandra laurifolia</i> Benth.	Fabaceae	pod	H ₂ O	≤0.06	0.06	1.0
<i>Vismia</i> sp	Hypericaceae	bark	MeOH	Inative	Inative	Inative
<i>Vitex cymosa</i> Bertero ex Spreng.	Lamiaceae	branches	H ₂ O	Inative	Inative	Inative
<i>Vitex cymosa</i> Bertero ex Spreng.	Lamiaceae	roots	H ₂ O	Inative	Inative	Inative
<i>Vitex cymosa</i> Bertero ex Spreng.	Lamiaceae	flowers	H ₂ O	Inative	Inative	Inative
<i>Vitex cymosa</i> Bertero ex Spreng.	Lamiaceae	flowers	DCM	0.12	0.50	1.0
<i>Eschweilera pedicellata</i> (Rich.) S.A. Mori	Lecythidaceae	leaves	H ₂ O	0.50	1.0	0.12
<i>Eschweilera pedicellata</i> (Rich.) S.A. Mori	Lecythidaceae	branch	H ₂ O	Inative	Inative	Inative
<i>Miconia argyrophylla</i> DC.	Melastomataceae	branches	DCM	1.0	1.0	0.50
<i>Miconia argyrophylla</i> DC.	Melastomataceae	branches	MeOH	0.12	0.25	0.50
<i>Miconia argyrophylla</i> DC.	Melastomataceae	branches	H ₂ O	1.0	0.50	0.50
<i>Miconia argyrophylla</i> DC.	Melastomataceae	leaves	DCM	Inative	Inative	Inative
<i>Miconia argyrophylla</i> DC.	Melastomataceae	leaves	H ₂ O	Inative	0.50	1.0
<i>Ficus cf. trigonata</i> L.	Moraceae	barks	DCM	Inative	Inative	Inative
<i>Ficus cf. trigonata</i> L.	Moraceae	barks	MeOH	1.0	0.50	1.0
<i>Ficus cf. trigonata</i> L.	Moraceae	barks	H ₂ O	1.0	0.12	1.0
<i>Ficus cf. trigonata</i> L.	Moraceae	branches	DCM	Inative	0.50	0.12
<i>Ficus cf. trigonata</i> L.	Moraceae	branches	MeOH	0.12	0.50	0.12
<i>Ficus cf. trigonata</i> L.	Moraceae	branches	H ₂ O	2.0	1.0	0.50
<i>Virola calophylla</i> (Spruce) Warb.	Myristicaceae	leaves	MeOH	Inative	Inative	2.0
<i>Minquartia guianensis</i> Aubl.	Olacaceae	leaves	DCM	Inative	Inative	Inative
<i>Minquartia guianensis</i> Aubl.	Olacaceae	leaves	MeOH	Inative	Inative	Inative
<i>Minquartia guianensis</i> Aubl.	Olacaceae	leaves	H ₂ O	0.25	2.0	1.0
<i>Minquartia guianensis</i> Aubl.	Olacaceae	branches	DCM	0.25	2.0	1.0

<i>Minuartia guianensis</i> Aubl.	Olacaceae	branches	MeOH	0.50	0.50	0.25
<i>Minuartia guianensis</i> Aubl.	Olacaceae	branches	H ₂ O	1.0	1.0	1.0
<i>Piranhea trifoliata</i> Baill.	Picrodendraceae	leaves	DCM	Inative	Inative	2.0
<i>Piranhea trifoliata</i> Baill.	Picrodendraceae	leaves	MeOH	Inative	Inative	Inative
<i>Piranhea trifoliata</i> Baill.	Picrodendraceae	branches	DCM	0.25	2.0	0.50
<i>Piranhea trifoliata</i> Baill.	Picrodendraceae	branches	MeOH	0.25	0.25	0.06
<i>Ferdinandusa paraensis</i> Ducke	Rubiaceae	leaves	H ₂ O	Inative	Inative	Inative
<i>Ferdinandusa paraensis</i> Ducke	Rubiaceae	leaves	DCM	1.0	0.50	0.50
<i>Ferdinandusa paraensis</i> Ducke	Rubiaceae	leaves	MeOH	1.0	0.50	1.0
<i>Ferdinandusa paraensis</i> Ducke	Rubiaceae	branches	H ₂ O	2.0	1.0	1.0
<i>Ferdinandusa hirsuta</i> Standl.	Rubiaceae	leaves	H ₂ O	Inative	Inative	Inative
<i>Ferdinandusa hirsuta</i> Standl.	Rubiaceae	leaves	DCM	Inative	Inative	Inative
<i>Ferdinandusa hirsuta</i> Standl.	Rubiaceae	leaves	MeOH	1.0	0.25	1.0
<i>Ferdinandusa hirsuta</i> Standl.	Rubiaceae	branches	DCM	0.25	0.50	1.0
<i>Ferdinandusa hirsuta</i> Standl.	Rubiaceae	branches	MeOH	Inative	Inative	Inative
<i>Ferdinandusa hirsuta</i> Standl.	Rubiaceae	leaves	MeOH	Inative	Inative	Inative
<i>Ferdinandusa hirsuta</i> Standl.	Rubiaceae	leaves	H ₂ O	1.0	1.0	1.0
<i>Ferdinandusa goudotiana</i> K. Schum.	Rubiaceae	leaves	DCM	1.0	0.25	1.0
<i>Ferdinandusa goudotiana</i> K. Schum.	Rubiaceae	leaves	MeOH	0.12	1.0	0.50
<i>Palicourea corymbifera</i> (Müll. Arg.) Standl.	Rubiaceae	leaves	H ₂ O	1.0	0.25	0.50
<i>Palicourea corymbifera</i> (Müll. Arg.) Standl.	Rubiaceae	leaves	DCM	Inative	Inative	Inative
<i>Palicourea corymbifera</i> (Müll. Arg.) Standl.	Rubiaceae	leaves	MeOH	2.0	0.50	1.0
<i>Palicourea guianensis</i> Aubl.	Rubiaceae	leaves	H ₂ O	0.50	0.50	1.0
<i>Palicourea guianensis</i> Aubl.	Rubiaceae	leaves	DCM	Inative	Inative	Inative
<i>Palicourea guianensis</i> Aubl.	Rubiaceae	leaves	MeOH	2.0	0.50	0.50
<i>Zanthoxylum</i> sp.	Rutaceae	roots	H ₂ O	Inative	Inative	Inative
<i>Zanthoxylum</i> sp.	Rutaceae	stalks	MeOH	Inative	Inative	Inative
<i>Zanthoxylum</i> sp.	Rutaceae	barks	DCM	0.12	0.12	0.12
<i>Zanthoxylum</i> sp.	Rutaceae	leaves	DCM	0.12	Inative	0.50
<i>Zanthoxylum</i> sp.	Rutaceae	leaves	H ₂ O	Inative	0.12	0.50
<i>Salix martiana</i> Leyb.	Salicaceae	leaves	DCM	1.0	1.0	0.50
<i>Salix martiana</i> Leyb.	Salicaceae	branches	DCM	0.25	0.12	0.12
<i>Salix martiana</i> Leyb.	Salicaceae	branches	MeOH	0.12	0.25	1.0
<i>Salix martiana</i> Leyb.	Salicaceae	branches	H ₂ O	1.0	1.0	0.50
<i>Manilkara huberi</i> (Ducke) A. Chev.	Sapotaceae	barks	DCM	0.12	0.50	0.12
<i>Manilkara huberi</i> (Ducke) A. Chev.	Sapotaceae	barks	MeOH	Inative	Inative	Inative
<i>Manilkara huberi</i> (Ducke) A. Chev.	Sapotaceae	barks	H ₂ O	Inative	Inative	Inative
<i>Smilax brasiliensis</i> Spreng.	Smilacaceae	leaves	DCM	0.50	0.50	0.12
<i>Smilax brasiliensis</i> Spreng.	Smilacaceae	leaves	MeOH	0.50	0.25	2.0
<i>Smilax brasiliensis</i> Spreng.	Smilacaceae	leaves	H ₂ O	0.50	0.25	2.0
<i>Smilax brasiliensis</i> Spreng.	Smilacaceae	branches	DCM	Inative	Inative	Inative
<i>Smilax brasiliensis</i> Spreng.	Smilacaceae	branches	MeOH	1.0	2.0	0.50
<i>Smilax brasiliensis</i> Spreng.	Smilacaceae	branches	H ₂ O	0.50	0.50	0.12
<i>Smilax brasiliensis</i> Spreng.	Smilacaceae	roots	DCM	0.06	0.25	0.06
<i>Smilax brasiliensis</i> Spreng.	Smilacaceae	roots	MeOH	0.50	0.25	0.12

<i>Smilax brasiliensis</i> Spreng.	Smilacaceae	roots	H ₂ O	1.0	0.25	0.12
<i>Cecropia cf. hololeuca</i> Miq	Urticaceae	branches	DCM	0.12	1.0	0.12
<i>Cecropia cf. hololeuca</i> Miq	Urticaceae	branches	MeOH	Inative	1.0	1.0
<i>Cecropia cf. hololeuca</i> Miq	Urticaceae	branches	H ₂ O	Inative	Inative	Inative
<i>Coussapoa magnifolia</i> Trécul	Urticaceae	fruits	DCM	Inative	Inative	Inative
<i>Coussapoa magnifolia</i> Trécul	Urticaceae	fruits	MeOH	Inative	1.0	1.0
<i>Coussapoa magnifolia</i> Trécul	Urticaceae	fruits	H ₂ O	0.06	0.06	0.06
<i>Pourouma</i> sp	Urticaceae	leaves	DCM	Inative	Inative	Inative
<i>Pourouma</i> sp	Urticaceae	leaves	MeOH	0.12	0.06	0.06
<i>Pourouma</i> sp	Urticaceae	leaves	H ₂ O	1.0	0.06	0.06
<i>Pourouma guianensis</i> Aubl.	Urticaceae	leaves	DCM	0.12	0.12	0.06
<i>Pourouma guianensis</i> Aubl.	Urticaceae	leaves	MeOH	Inative	Inative	Inative
<i>Pourouma guianensis</i> Aubl.	Urticaceae	leaves	H ₂ O	Inative	0.06	0.06
<i>Lippia microphylla</i> Cham.	Verbenaceae	leaves	MeOH	0.12	0.12	1.0
<i>Lippia microphylla</i> Cham.	Verbenaceae	leaves	H ₂ O	0.12	0.50	2.0
<i>Lippia microphylla</i> Cham.	Verbenaceae	leaves	DCM	Inative	Inative	Inative
<i>Zingiber zerumbet</i> (L.) Roscoe ex Sm.	Zingiberaceae	leaves	MeOH	2.0	0.50	2.0
<i>Zingiber zerumbet</i> (L.) Roscoe ex Sm.	Zingiberaceae	leaves	H ₂ O	2.0	0.50	2.0
<i>Zingiber zerumbet</i> (L.) Roscoe ex Sm.	Zingiberaceae	leaves	DCM	2.0	0.06	2.0
<i>Zingiber zerumbet</i> (L.) Roscoe ex Sm.	Zingiberaceae	roots	MeOH	2.0	0.12	2.0
<i>Zingiber zerumbet</i> (L.) Roscoe ex Sm.	Zingiberaceae	roots	DCM	2.0	0.50	2.0

H₂O= water; DCM= dichloromethane; Hex= hexane; MeOH= methanol

The extracts of *Zingiber zerumbet* in Zingiberaceae family was active against all species of *Candida*, but the MIC against *C. albicans* and *C. parapsilosis* from 2 mg/ mL, while the MIC for *C. glabrata* was from 0.06 to 0.5 mg/mL. Members of the Zingiberaceae family are commonly used in traditional medicine. Some plants from this family show a high activity against dermatophytes, *Candida albicans* and *Cryptococcus neoformans* (Jantan *et al.*, 2003; Yob *et al.*, 2011). Flavonoids are the main bioactive constituents found in the roots of these plants, and soluble flavonoids were reported to be more active than glycosidic forms naturally present in plants (Rauha *et al.*, 2000). Species of yeast were more resistant when compared with fungi (Parekh and Chanda, 2008).

About the activity of the family Urticaceae can be observed that eight of the twelve extracts tested were active against at least one species of *Candida* evaluated. The aqueous extract of the fruits of *Coussapoa magnifolia* been active for three species of *Candida* (MIC of the 0.06 mg/mL), this fact is probably related to aqueous solvent extract the bioactive substances such as phenolics compounds and flavonoids, that appears to be directly related to the antimicrobial activity (Modarresi *et al.*, 2010).

The use of natural products, with therapeutic properties, is as ancient as human civilization and, for a long time, minerals, plants and animal products were the unique sources of drugs (Duraipandiyan *et al.*, 2011; Van Vuuren, 2008). This behavior has been drawing the attention of the scientific community to investigate the effectiveness of natural products as well as to promote its safe use. Several studies have been conducted to assess the biodiversity of various plants, which show antibacterial, antifungal and antiviral activity and antioxidant and immunomodulator properties in others works (Rios *et al.*, 2005; Van Vuuren, 2008).

It is expected that the traditional knowledge about medicinal plants indicates the presence of biologically active substance. The collection of plants for biological testing from its traditional use can be a great advantage, or a shortcut, increasing the chances of discovering new drugs (Cowan, 1999; Oliveira *et al.*, 2006; Soejarto, 1996; Van Vuuren, 2008).

Added to this the fact, Brazil has a rich biodiversity, which offers a wide range of source to products of economic importance, especially plant sources. However, the extracts may contain active substance that can antagonized or potentiated in presence of other ones (Newman *et al.*, 2012). Moreover, the molecular diversity of plants that confer a variety of

Table 2. Extracts activity against *C. albicans*, *C. glabrata*, *C. parapsilosis*.

	Number of extracts active by inhibition level		
	Strong (until 1.0 mg/mL)	Weak (1.1 to 2.0 mg/mL)	Inactive*
<i>C. albicans</i>	64	10	40
<i>C. glabrata</i>	74	8	32
<i>C. parapsilosis</i>	70	15	29

*Higher than 2 mg/mL

structures with biological potential is an advantage of the natural products when compared to synthetic, as this generally complicates the process of synthesis (Arunotayanun and Gibbons, 2012; Calixto, 2005). The results obtained showed the expressive contribution of the some plants extracts of Brazilian flora with anti-Candida activity.

CONCLUSION

Among one hundred and fourteen extracts tested against all species of *Candida*, seventy-four were active against the *C. albicans*, eighty-two against the *C. glabrata* and eighty-five against *C. parapsilosis*. The results of this study indicate that families of the Amazon plants have considered promising antifungal activity mainly the Araceae, Apocynaceae, Salicaceae and Urticaceae,

REFERENCES

- Abedini A, Roumy V, Mahieux S, Biabiany M, Standaert-Vitse A, Riviere C, Sarpaz S, Bailleul F, Neut C, Hennebelle T. Rosmarinic Acid and Its Methyl Ester as Antimicrobial Components of the Hydromethanolic Extract of *Hyptis atrorubens* Poit. (Lamiaceae). *Evid Based Complement Alternat Med*, 2013, 604536.
- Aguiar JS, Costa MCCD, Nascimento SC, Sena KXFR. Atividade antimicrobiana de *Lippia alba* (Mill.) N. E. Brown (Verbenaceae). *Brazilian Journal of Pharmacognosy*, 18(3), 2008, 436-440.
- Ahmad I, Beg AZ. Antimicrobial and phytochemical studies on 45 Indian medicinal plants against multi-drug resistant human pathogens. *J Ethnopharmacol*, 74, 2001, 113-123.
- Aliqiannis N, Kalpoutzakis E, Mitaku S, Chinou IB. Composition and antimicrobial activity of the essential oils of two *Origanum* species. *J Agric Food Chem*, 49, 2001, 4168-4170.
- Alves TM, Silva AF, Brandao M, Grandi TS, Smania E, Smania JA, Zani CL. Biological screening of Brazilian medicinal plants. *Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz*, 95, 2000, 367-373.
- Arunotayanun W, Gibbons S. Natural product 'legal highs'. *Nat Prod Rep*, 29, 2012, 1304-1316.
- Brandao MG, Zanetti NN, Oliveira P, Graef CF, Santos AC, Monte-Mor RL. Brazilian medicinal plants described by 19th century European naturalists and in the Official Pharmacopoeia. *J Ethnopharmacol*, 120, 2008, 141-148.
- Bukola CA, Adebayo-Taio, Kola KA. Antimicrobial Activities of *Coula edulis*. *Research Journal of Medicinal Plant*, 2[2], 2008, 86-91.
- Calixto JB. Twenty-five years of research on medicinal plants in Latin America, a personal view. *J Ethnopharmacol*, 100, 2005, 131-134.
- Chokchaisiri R, Suaisom C, Shiphota S, Chindaduang A, Chuprajob T, Suksamrarn A. Bioactive Flavonoids of the Flowers of *Butea monosperma*. *Chemical and Pharmaceutical Bulletin*, 57, 2009, 428-432.
- Cowan MM. Plant products as antimicrobial agents. *Clin Microbiol Rev*. 12, 1999, 564-582.
- Cursino LMC, Santos I, Mariúba LAM, Jeffreys MF, Lima NM, Oliveira JL, Orlandi PP, Nunez CV. Antibacterial activity of *Minuartia guianensis* extracts and phytochemical evaluation. *Emir J Food Agric*, 23(6), 2011, 505-510.
- Duarte MC, Figueira GM, Sartoratto A, Rehder VL, Delarmelina C. Anti-Candida activity of Brazilian medicinal plants. *J Ethnopharmacol*, 97, 2005, 305-311.
- Duarte MC, Leme EE, Delarmelina C, Soares AA, Figueira GM, Sartoratto A. Activity of essential oils from Brazilian medicinal plants on *Escherichia coli*. *J Ethnopharmacol*, 2007, 111, 197-201.
- Duraipandiyar V, Ignacimuthu S. Antifungal activity of traditional medicinal plants from Tamil Nadu, India. *Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Biomedicine*, 2011, S204-S215.

which extracts all show up before the active isolates for the development of new drugs that can be used in therapy against opportunistic infections caused by these microorganisms.

The active phytochemicals of these plants against these *Candida* species showed that products of plant from Brazilian Amazon are an important source of bioactive substances and it reinforces the need for studies to identify the potential of these products of plant origin, as well as the active ingredient responsible for its activities.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors acknowledge the financial support from Brazilian agencies: CT-Agro/CNPq, PPBio/CNPq, CENBAM-CNPq-MCTI, CAPES and FAPESP.

- El-Shemy HA, Aboul-Enein AM, Aboul-Enein KM, Fujita K. Willow leaves' extracts contain anti-tumor agents effective against three cell types. *PLoS One*, 2, 2007, e178.
- Erkose G, Erturan Z. Oral Candida colonization of human immunodeficiency virus infected subjects in Turkey and its relation with viral load and CD4+ T-lymphocyte count. *Mycoses*, 50, 2007, 485-490.
- Fernandes CC, Cursino LMC, Novaes JA, Demetrio CA, Junior OLP, Nunez CV, Amaral IL. Salicilatos isolados de folhas e talos de *Salix martiana* Leyb. (Salicaceae). *Quim Nova*, 32(4), 2009, 983-986.
- Figueiredo AD, Bustamente KG, Soares ML, Pimenta FC, Bara MTF, Fiuza TS, Tresvenzol LMF, Paula JR. Avaliação da atividade antimicrobiana das partes aéreas (folhas e caules) e raízes de *Richardia brasiliensis* Gomez (Rubiaceae). *Rev Ciênc Farm Básica Apl*, 30(2), 2009, 65-68.
- Fostel JM, Lartey PA. Emerging novel antifungal agents. *Drug Discov Today*, 5, 2000, 25-32.
- Gazim ZC, Amorim AC, Hovell AM, Rezende CM, Nascimento IA, Ferreira GA, Cortez DA. Seasonal variation, chemical composition, and analgesic and antimicrobial activities of the essential oil from leaves of *Tetradenia riparia* (Hochst.) Codd in southern Brazil. *Molecules*, 15, 2010, 5509-5524.
- Gazim ZC, Rodrigues F, Amorim AC, Rezende CM, Sokovic M, Tesevic V, Vuckovic I, Krstic G, Cortez LE, Colauto NB, Linde GA, Cortez DA. New Natural Diterpene-Type Abietane from *Tetradenia riparia* Essential Oil with Cytotoxic and Antioxidant Activities. *Molecules*, 19, 2013, 514-524.
- Harborne JB, Williams CA. Advances in flavonoid research since 1992. *Phytochemistry*, 55, 2000, 481-504.
- Hofling JF, Anibal PC, Obando-Pereda GA, Peixoto IA, Furletti VF, Foglio MA, Goncalves RB. Antimicrobial potential of some plant extracts against Candida species. *Braz J Biol*, 70, 2010, 1065-1068.
- Jantan IB, Yassin MSM, Chin CB, Chen LL, Sim NL. Antifungal Activity of the Essential Oils of Nine Zingiberaceae Species. *Pharmaceutical Biology*, 41(5), 2003, 392-397.
- Lima MRF, Ximenez EA, Luna JS, Sant'Ana AG. The antibiotic activity of some Brazilian medicinal plants. *Brazilian Journal of Pharmacognosy*, 16(3), 2006, 300-306.
- Modarresi CA, Ibrahim D, Fariza SS. Antioxidant, Antimicrobial Activity and Toxicity Test of *Pilea microphylla*. *Int J Microbiol*. 2010, 826830.
- NCCLS- CLSI, 2003. National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards (NCCLS), Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Disc Susceptibility Tests. Approved Standard M2-A7.
- Newman DJ, Cragg GM. Natural products as sources of new drugs over the 30 years from 1981 to 2010. *J Nat Prod*, 75, 2012, 311-335.
- Nissanka AP, Karunaratne V, Bandara BM, Kumar V, Nakanishi T, Nishi M, Inada A, Tillekeratne LM, Wijesundara DS, Gunatilaka AA. Antimicrobial alkaloids from *Zanthoxylum tetraspermum* and *caudatum*. *Phytochemistry*, 56, 2001, 857-861.
- Nunez CV, Roumy V, Mesquita DWO, Sahpaz S, Bailleul F, Hennebelle T. Indole alkaloids from *Duroia macrophylla* (Rubiaceae). *Planta Medica*, 78, 2012, PI287.
- Nunez CV, Santos PA, Roumy V, Hennebelle T, Sahpaz S, Mesquita ASS, Bailleul F. Raunitidine isolated from *Duroia macrophylla* (Rubiaceae). *Planta Medica*, 2009, 75[PJ30].
- Oliveira DR, Leitao GG, Coelho TS, Silva PE, Lourenço MC, Leitao S. Ethnopharmacological versus random plant selection methods for the evaluation of the antimycobacterial activity. *Brazilian Journal of Pharmacognosy*, Aop7811, 2011, 1-14.
- Oliveira DR, Leitao GG, Santos SS, Bizzo HR, Lopes D, Alviano CS, Alviano DS, Leitao SG. Ethnopharmacological study of two Lippia species from Oriximina, *Brazil J Ethnopharmacol*, 108, 2006, 103-108.
- Parekh J, Chanda S. In vitro antifungal activity of methanol extracts of some Indian medicinal plants against pathogenic yeast and moulds. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, 7(23), 2008, 4348-4353.
- Portillo A, Vila R, Freixa B, Adzet T, Canigüeral S. Antifungal activity of Paraguayan plants used in traditional medicine. *J Ethnopharmacol*, 76, 2000, 93-98.
- Ramos DF, Leitão GG, Costa FN, Abreu L, Villareal JV, Leitão SG, Fernández SLS, Silva PEA. Investigation of the antimycobacterial activity of 36 plant extracts from the Brazilian Atlantic Forest. *Brazilian Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences*, 44[4], 2008, 669-674.
- Rauha JP, Remes S, Heinonen M, Hopia A, Kahkonen M, Kujala T, Pihlaja K, Vuorela H, Vuorela P. Antimicrobial effects of Finnish plant extracts containing flavonoids and other phenolic compounds. *Int J Food Microbiol*, 56, 2000, 3-12.
- Repentigny L, Lewandowski D, Jolicoeur P. Immunopathogenesis of oropharyngeal candidiasis in human immunodeficiency virus infection. *Clin Microbiol Rev*, 17, 2004, 729-59.
- Rios JL, Recio MC. Medicinal plants and antimicrobial activity. *J Ethnopharmacol*, 100, 2005, 80-84.
- Sardi JC, Duque C, Mariano FS, Peixoto IT, Hofling JF, Goncalves RB. Candida spp. in periodontal disease, a brief review. *J Oral Sci*, 52, 2010, 177-185.

- Simões CMO, Schenkel EP, Gosmann G, Mello JCP, Mentz LA, Petrovick PR. Farmacognosia, da planta ao medicamento. 2003. UFSC, Porto Alegre/Florianópolis.
- Soejarto DD. Biodiversity prospecting and benefit-sharing, perspectives from the field. *J Ethnopharmacol*. 51, 1996, 1-15.
- Spampinato C, Leonardi D. Candida infections, causes, targets, and resistance mechanisms, traditional and alternative antifungal agents. *Biomed Res Int*, 2013, 204237.
- Svetaz L, Zuljan F, Derita M, Petenatti E, Tamayo G, Caceres A, Cechinel FV, Gimenez A, Pinzon R, Zacchino SA, Gupta M. Value of the ethnomedical information for the discovery of plants with antifungal properties. A survey among seven Latin American countries. *J Ethnopharmacol*, 127, 2010, 137-158.
- Van Vuuren SF. Antimicrobial activity of South African medicinal plants. *J Ethnopharmacol*, 119, 2008, 462-472.
- Webster D, Taschereau P, Belland RJ, Sand C, Rennie RP. Antifungal activity of medicinal plant extracts, preliminary screening studies. *J Ethnopharmacol*, 115, 2008, 140-146.
- Yazdani D, Tan YH, Zainal AMA, Jaganath IB. A review on bioactive compounds isolated from plants against plant pathogenic fungi. *Journal of Medicinal Plants Research*, 5(30), 2011, 6584-6589.
- Yob NJ, Jofry SM, Affandi MM, Teh LK, Salleh MZ, Zakaria ZA. *Zingiber zerumbet* (L.) Smith, A Review of Its Ethnomedicinal, Chemical, and Pharmacological Uses. *Evid Based Complement Alternat Med*, 2011, 543216.