


Much information,
little depth

1285



Septins and cilia
formation

1289



LETTERS | BOOKS | POLICY FORUM | EDUCATION FORUM | PERSPECTIVES

LETTERS

edited by Jennifer Sills

No Return from Biodiversity Loss

IN THEIR LETTER "BRAZILIAN LAW: FULL SPEED IN REVERSE?" (16 JULY, P. 276), J. P. METZGER and coauthors highlight the precarious plight of the Brazilian Forest Act (Código Florestal), embattled by new legislative reform that will effectively condemn old-growth remnants and forest regrowth in private landholdings throughout the largest tropical country on Earth. We would like to emphasize that the reforms could lead to irreversible loss of tropical biodiversity.

Rural private properties account for 39% of Brazil's ~8.5M-km² territory and represent an essential component of forest biodiversity conservation that is separate from formally protected areas. Sadly, the short-term interests of powerful economic groups, influential landowners, and politicians ignore the conservation value of private-sector forests by diluting the Brazilian Forest Act.

The detrimental environmental and social impacts of brushing aside scientific evidence

are exemplified by the proposed reductions in protected forest area requirements for riparian forest (buffer strips) located adjacent to rivers and streams. Current proposals ignore pervasive edge effects, whereby the influences of neighboring habitats such as cattle pasture permeate into forest areas, gradually decimating the canopy tree population (1). The reduction in buffer strips means that these landscape features will have decreased ability to both retain and connect forest species (2) and maintain water quality and flows (3). Landowners who comply with the new legislation would increase landscape



Brazilian biodiversity at risk. Proposed legal reforms could cause irreversible loss of biodiversity and reduce the value of private properties.

fragmentation and reduce the value of their properties as a result of property-scale soil erosion and poor water catchment regulation within watersheds (4).

There is a glimmer of hope: The scientific community, environmental nongovernmental organizations, and the Ministry of Environment can still reconcile with the staunch proponents of Brazilian Forest Act reform. We need better communication among all segments of society to develop wise land-use management of the existing agro-pastoral matrix, thereby sparing the need for further expansion of new deforestation frontiers.

FERNANDA MICHALSKI,^{1*} DARREN NORRIS,¹ CARLOS A. PERES²

¹Department of Ecology, São Paulo State University, 13506-900, Rio Claro, SP, Brazil. ²Centre for Ecology Evolution and Conservation, School of Environmental Sciences, University of East Anglia, NR4 7TJ, Norwich, United Kingdom.

*To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: fmichalski@procarnivoros.org.br

References

1. W. F. Laurance *et al.*, *Nature* **404**, 836 (2000).
2. A. C. Lees, C. A. Peres, *Conserv. Biol.* **22**, 439 (2008).
3. K. Tockner, J. A. Stanford, *Environ. Conserv.* **29**, 308 (2002).
4. G. Sparovek *et al.*, *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* **90**, 169 (2002).

Overuse Could Leave Southwest High and Dry

IN THEIR PERSPECTIVE "DRY TIMES AHEAD" (25 June, p. 1642), J. Overpeck and B. Udall highlight serious water availability issues the western region of the United States may soon face in the form of less precipitation, longer drought patterns, and decreased snow pack. There is another risk as well: overapportionment.


In the Colorado River Basin, the water lifeline of southwestern United States, long-term planned use of the river's water exceeds the reliably available supply. Use agreements for the water in the Colorado and Rio Grande River basin are governed by laws and compacts between the southwestern states. Most of these agreements are 50 to 100 years old and were drafted on the basis of then-current water resource data, without taking demographic and climatic trends into account (1). Climate change is projected to increase the likelihood of a shortage (2). This situation could be worsened by the fact that the states in or adjacent to this corridor include some of the states with the highest population growth rates. The U.S. Census Bureau has projected

Letters to the Editor

Letters (~300 words) discuss material published in *Science* in the previous 3 months or issues of general interest. They can be submitted through the Web (www.submit2science.org) or by regular mail (1200 New York Ave., NW, Washington, DC 20005, USA). Letters are not acknowledged upon receipt, nor are authors generally consulted before publication. Whether published in full or in part, letters are subject to editing for clarity and space.


Downloaded from <http://science.sciencemag.org/> on May 13, 2016

CREDIT: FERNANDA MICHALSKI



Expanding stem cells

1291



An exciting shift

1295

that an additional 10 to 13 million people will reside in these regions by 2030 (3). To add another layer of complexity, the possibility of basing an integrated industrial ecology on biofuels (such as algae and lignocellulose) has received increased attention in southwestern United States (4). However, the water footprint represents a major sustainability challenge for future biofuel production (5–7). In the future, increased demand for water for civic, agricultural, and even energy needs coupled with a decreasing supply could result in conflicts between states regarding water sharing, management, and use.

To address these issues and facilitate sustainable production of clean energy, state and federal governments should implement strict guidelines and a regulatory framework for water-use permits and water recycling. Commercial biofuel production permits should be

given to companies and units that have implemented modern technologies for water recycling and conservation. New policies and regulations for water management and use should be a high priority for the sustainable development of a biofuel sector in order to meet liquid fuel needs in the United States without hampering the regional hydrologic pattern in the southwestern region of the country.

BOBBAN G. SUBHADRA

Department of Internal Medicine, School of Medicine, University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, NM 87131, USA. E-mail: bsubhadra@salud.unm.edu

References

1. New Mexico Water Resources Research Institute, Data and Information, New Mexico Interstate Stream Compacts (<http://wrii.nmsu.edu/wrdis/compacts/compacts.html>).
2. N. S. Christensen *et al.*, *Clim. Change* **62**, 337 (2004).
3. U.S. Census Bureau, U.S. Population Projections, State Interim Population Projections by Age and Sex: 2004–2030 (www.census.gov/population/www/projections/projectionsagesex.html).

4. B. G. Subhadra, M. Edwards, *Energ. Pol.* **38**, 4897 (2010).
5. W. Gerbens-Leenes *et al.*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **106**, 10219 (2009).
6. C. Harto *et al.*, *Energ. Pol.* **38**, 4933 (2010).
7. K. Mulder *et al.*, *Ambio. J. Hum. Environ.* **39**, 30 (2010).

Test Ban Results Could Be Negative

P. S. CORDEN'S HOPE THAT BANNING NUCLEAR tests will somehow bring nuclear peace ("Banning Nuclear Tests," Editorial, 21 May, p. 953) ignores much history. He neglects to acknowledge that three new states have developed nuclear capability (and Iran is soon to join them, apparently) after the United States signed but did not ratify the Comprehensive Nuclear-Test-Ban Treaty (CTBT). Furthermore, the Stockpile Stewardship Program has not shown that our weapons are reliable, because its conclusions are based on simulations that have never been checked by direct testing.

If the United States ratifies the CTBT, how will we or anyone know that our stockpile is reliable in decades hence? Simulations and limited lab experiments provide some data but are not definitive. As history shows,

FOCUS ON CAREERS

FACULTY: Small Universities

Find out if teaching and performing research at a "small" college or university is for you.

Read more on p. 1378

or view online at sciencecareers.org/career_magazine/business_office_features

Produced by the *Science*/AAAS Business Office



the CTBT does not slow those who join the nuclear club for reasons of their own. Tactical weapon reliability is the hardest to judge without tests, and may well be the most likely to be used. Ignoring this is folly.

It is also folly to imagine that total nuclear disarmament is stable—it is far too easy to cheat, even with no testing.

GREGORY BENFORD

Department of Physics, University of California, Irvine, Irvine, CA 92697, USA. E-mail: gbenford@uci.edu

Response

I DID NOT CLAIM THAT THE COMPREHENSIVE Nuclear-Test-Ban Treaty (CTBT) will “bring nuclear peace,” as Benford suggests. The CTBT is one step toward a nuclear weapon-free world. Others will be required, and each must promote stability and be verifiable. The process depends on a benefit-risk calculus of how best to ensure security.

Of the three states that have tested most recently, India and Pakistan did so in 1998, and only North Korea (in 2006 and 2009) did so after the Senate voted against the CTBT. In each case, it is arguable that prior U.S. ratification would have influenced the decision to test, particularly if U.S. ratification had led to

ratification by China and others.

Benford claims that a direct (nuclear) test is necessary to ensure weapon reliability. This is not the case. Since 1996, the Stockpile Stewardship Program has been the basis for an annual certification of confidence in the reliability of nuclear weapons, both strategic and tactical (there are no technical differences between them that would affect confidence), without testing. The April 2010 Nuclear Posture Review foresees no future need for nuclear testing for maintaining such confidence, a position that the directors of the three nuclear weapons laborato-

ries have endorsed (*1*). The Stockpile Stewardship Program has no direct correlation to constraining testing by potential adversaries, whereas the CTBT does. Such testing, and its damage to global stability, is not in the U.S. interest.

PIERCE S. CORDEN

Visiting Scholar, AAAS Center for Science, Technology, and Security Policy, Washington, DC 20005, USA. E-mail: pcorden@aaas.org

Reference

1. Tri-Lab Directors' Statement on the Nuclear Posture Review, Los Alamos, New Mexico, 9 April 2010; www.lanl.gov/news/releases/tri_lab_directors_statement_on_the_nuclear_posture_review.html.

CORRECTIONS AND CLARIFICATIONS

Special Section on Scaling Up Alternative Energy: News: “Sending African sunlight to Europe, special delivery” by D. Clery (13 August, p. 782). The figure 12,000 gigawatts, cited as the amount of concentrating solar power needed to meet Europe’s energy demand by 2050, in fact refers to worldwide demand.

Special Section on Scaling Up Alternative Energy: News: “Energy’s tricky tradeoffs” by A. Cho (13 August, p. 786). The units for the map of average daily sunshine in the United States should be kilowatt-hours per square meter, not kilowatts per square meter.

Table of Contents: (16 July, p. 251). The one-sentence summary for the Report by C. K. Savile *et al.* was incorrect. The correct summary is “An engineered enzyme offers substantial efficiency advantages in the production-scale synthesis of a drug.”

Reports: “Single-crystal x-ray structure of 1,3-dimethylcyclobutadiene by confinement in a crystalline matrix” by Y.-M. Legrand *et al.* (16 July, p. 299). The parent host structure G₂C and related solvent-inclusion compounds were reported recently: Y. Liu, M. D. Ward, *Cryst. Growth Des.* **9**, 3859 (2009).

LIFE SCIENCE TECHNOLOGIES

Proteomics 2:

Technologies for Biomarker Discovery



Check out the Ad Feature in this issue of *Science* on page 1373.

This feature focuses on problems researchers face when sifting through an abundance of proteins to identify those that may serve as useful biomarkers for disease. It also explores the most recent techniques that are available to simplify this process.

May also be seen online at www.sciencemag.org/products/articles.dtl.

Produced by the *Science*/AAAS Business Office



No Return from Biodiversity Loss

Fernanda Michalski, Darren Norris and Carlos A. Peres (September 9, 2010)

Science **329** (5997), 1282. [doi: 10.1126/science.329.5997.1282-a]

Editor's Summary

This copy is for your personal, non-commercial use only.

- Article Tools** Visit the online version of this article to access the personalization and article tools:
<http://science.sciencemag.org/content/329/5997/1282.1>
- Permissions** Obtain information about reproducing this article:
<http://www.sciencemag.org/about/permissions.dtl>

Science (print ISSN 0036-8075; online ISSN 1095-9203) is published weekly, except the last week in December, by the American Association for the Advancement of Science, 1200 New York Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20005. Copyright 2016 by the American Association for the Advancement of Science; all rights reserved. The title *Science* is a registered trademark of AAAS.